Video laryngoscopy versus direct laryngoscopy on time of orotracheal intubation in normal adult in elective surgeries
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ABSTRACT
Objective: to compare the time and ease of intubation in suspected non-difficult intubation during airway management by the two laryngoscopes. Methods: A prospective randomized study was done on 100 patients, there age was 18 to 65 years old, comparing McGrath video-laryngoscope and Macintosh laryngoscope divided into two equal groups each of 50 patients, patients given the same technique of anesthesia. Results: In comparison between study groups by duration of intubation, we noticed that the mean duration of intubation by using McGrath™ MAC video laryngoscope was significantly lower than that of using Macintosh Laryngoscope (14.8 versus 17.88 seconds, P= 0.001). Conclusions: Intubation by video laryngoscope is faster than direct laryngoscope and easy to use.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Video-laryngoscopy is becoming a widely accepted airway management technique. It can offer better views of the glottis when compared with standard direct laryngoscopy for the management of both normal and difficult airways (Chemsian et al., 2014, van Zundert et al., 2012). The McGrath Series 3 video-laryngoscope (Aircraft Medical, Edinburgh, Scotland) is a novel, self-contained video-laryngoscope, containing a sterile, transparent, acrylic single-use blade with a 45° angle (110 mm × 12 mm × 15 mm). It has a tiny camera and a light source at the tip of the blade powered by a battery contained within the handle and therefore offers advantages as a stand-alone unit, without separate power units, screens, or cables. The McGrath offers a clear view of the glottis, vocal cords and surrounding airway anatomy on an LCD screen attached to the handle without requiring alignment of the oral, pharyngeal and laryngeal axes in patients with both normal and potential difficult airways (Taylor et al., 2013, Ng et al., 2012). It is
also used in management of failed tracheal intubation by Macintosh and other laryngoscopy (Hyuga et al., 2012, Noppens et al., 2010).

However, information about its use by inexperienced anesthesitsts is limited. The McGrath was similar with Macintosh in blade structure and shape and may be easy to grasp for beginners. Moreover, the superior anesthetists could observe and direct the real intubation process from the screen (Paolini et al., 2013, Yank et al., 2014, Herbstreit et al., 2011, Saeed and Fawzi, 2020). So, it appears to be a good teaching tool and would potentially be safer and easier intubation instrument for less experienced anesthetists.

2. PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study design and setting
It was a randomized clinical trial; the study was carried out from 1st April to 31th December, 2018. We enrolled 100 patients’ ages 18 – 65 year, with ASA classification 1 to 2 undergoing elective surgery under general anesthesia requiring routine orotracheal intubation at Baghdad Teaching Medical city Hospital.

Exclusion criteria
Patient refusal
Any expected patient with difficult intubation

Inclusion criteria
ASA 1 and 2
Age between 18 – 68 years old
Elective surgeries

Standard general anesthetic management
Data were collected before operation, after admission to the operation room, an intravenous cannula was inserted. Routine monitoring was established before induction of anesthesia, including electrocardiography, noninvasive blood pressure monitoring, fingertip oximetry, and capnography. After adequate preoxygenation with 100% oxygen and end-tidal oxygen concentration ≥70% ensured, induction drugs were administered same agents for all patients and it was as follows: midazolam 1 mg, fentanyl 50 microgram, ketamine 0.5mg/kg, Propofol 1-2 mg/kg, muscle relaxant used rocuronium 0.5 mg/kg.

Patients positioned in “sniffing” position and received bag-and-mask ventilation with 100% oxygen until muscle relaxation was achieved completely. Thereafter, laryngoscopy was performed with either McGrath or Macintosh (blade size 3 or 4) according to the research randomization allocation. A standard oral tracheal tube was used of appropriate size. The use of a stylet was at the decision of the anesthetist. If the operator removed the laryngoscope from mouth, this was counted as a additional attempt at intubation.

McGrath Laryngoscope
The anterior camera is designed to improve grade of view, provide better visual confirmation of tube placement, and reduce tunnel vision experienced with direct laryngoscopy minimal change in intubation technique.

Proprietary Macintosh type blade
Used like a traditional laryngoscope

Statistical Analysis
Data analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25. The data presented as mean, standard deviation and ranges. Categorical data presented by frequencies and percentages. Independent t-test (two tailed) was used to compare the continuous variables among study groups accordingly. Z-test was used to compare the categorical variables among study groups accordingly. A level of P – value less than 0.05 was considered significant

3. RESULTS
The total numbers of studied patients were 100. All of them were undergone surgical operation under general anesthesia. They were divided into two groups, first group included 50 patients intubated by using the McGRATH™ MAC video laryngoscope and second group included the other 50 patients intubated by using Macintosh Laryngoscope.
Study patient’s age was ranging from 18 to 60 years with a mean of 30.56 years and standard deviation (SD) of ± 11.0 years. The highest proportion of study patients were aged < 30 years (54%). Regarding gender, proportion of males was higher than females (62% versus 38%) with a male to female ratio of 1.63:1. Concerning BMI level, half of studied patients had normal BMI and the other half were overweighted. The proportion of patients with malampatti score one was higher than those with malampatti score two in both study groups (80% versus 20% and 76% versus 24% in MAC and Macintosh groups respectively). Concerning thyromental distance, no significant difference (P = 0.455) in means of thyromental distance between study groups.

In this study, we didn’t need to use of stylet or any other maneuvers in 88% of patients in MAC group and in 76% of patients in Macintosh group. Regarding failure of intubation, there was no failure occurred in all patients in MAC and in Macintosh groups. Regarding number of trials, all study patients had one trial. Concerning complication, all study patients had no complication. In comparison between study groups by ease of intubation scale, it was obvious that there was no significant difference (P= 0.118) in this scale between study groups. In comparison between study group by SPO2, we noticed that there was no significant difference (P = 0.4) in preoperative SPO2 between study groups (table 1, figure 1 & 2).

### Table 1 assessment of demographic and clinical data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>MAC</th>
<th>Macintosh</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean ± SD</td>
<td>31.96 ± 11.19</td>
<td>29.16 ± 10.73</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²), mean ± SD</td>
<td>25.38 ± 4.01</td>
<td>23.93 ± 4.63</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>34 (68%)</td>
<td>28 (56%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>16 (32%)</td>
<td>22 (44%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyromental Distance (cm), mean ± SD</td>
<td>8.56 ± 0.57</td>
<td>8.64 ± 0.48</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of intubation</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.32</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.43</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative SPO₂ (%)</td>
<td>96.6 ± 1.03</td>
<td>96.44 ± 0.86</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of intubation (sec)</td>
<td>14.8 ± 1.64</td>
<td>17.88 ± 2.44</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1** Distribution of study groups by malampatti score

### 4. DISCUSSION

In the current study, the mean duration of intubation by using McGrath™ MAC video laryngoscope was significantly lower than that by using Macintosh Laryngoscope (14.8 versus 17.88 seconds, P = 0.001). In comparison to other studies, disagreement noticed in Hoshijima et al. study in 2018, in which observed that McGrath required longer intubation time (mean difference, 10.1 second; CI, 2.74-17.5) and suggest that intubation time is significantly prolonged with the McGrath (Hoshijima et al., 2018). Disagreement also found in Taylor et al. study in 2013, in which the mean time for successful intubation was significantly longer with the McGrath video-laryngoscope compared with the Macintosh laryngoscope direct laryngoscopy (35.8 second vs. 21.7 second, P < 0.0001) (Taylor et al., 2013). From the big difference between time to intubate (TTI) in our study and this study it shows there is big
difference between the doctors’ experience in using laryngoscopes. Previous study was in consistence to Walker et al. study 2009, in which TTI was significantly longer in the McGrath group (47.0 vs 29.5 seconds, (P<0.001)) and suggested that no advantages in using the McGrath laryngoscope for uncomplicated tracheal intubation and it should not be used as a first-line laryngoscope instrument by inexperienced anesthetist (Walker et al., 2009).

Figure 2 mean of SPO2 during intubation of study groups

Another disagreement noticed in Liu et al 2016, as noticed that median time taken to achieve successful intubation was slightly longer in McGrath group compared with the Macintosh group, in which a non-significant different existed (median 28 vs 25s, P=0.46) (Liu et al., 2016). Differences observed among the above mentioned studies are multifactorial; among the commonest was the sample size of each study and variations in the design of the original studies, such as involving various patient populations, varying proficiency levels of the operators, and different methods of anesthesia. The experience of the operator had an important role, since the experienced operators were skilled in intubation with the Macintosh but not with the McGrath. Increased proficiency with the McGrath, which was similar to that with the Macintosh among the experienced operators, could result in outcomes different from that observed in the current study. Additionally, types of the McGrath (McGrath Series 5 or McGrath MAC), since these laryngoscopes do not have the exact same structure, this may increase bias and heterogeneity. Finally, time for manipulation of the device, the styletted tracheal tube in case the glottic view is poor, could explained the discrepancies in time observed.

In 2019 Sumitra G Bakshi show in study done on 74 patients that no difference in TTI between mcgrath video laryngoscope (MVL) and direct macintosh laryngoscope in double lumen DL insertion by 11 anesthesiologist non experienced with video DL insertion. Also the study show MVL was associated with better glottis visualization, reduced need of external laryngeal manipulation and fewer complications (Bakshi et al., 2019). At 2019 Kreutziger et al compared the McGrath Mac Video Laryngoscope and Direct Laryngoscopy for Prehospital Emergency Intubation in Air Rescue Patients in 514 patient and show that both devices are equivalently well suited for use in prehospital emergency tracheal intubation of adult patients (Kreutziger et al., 2019). The different levels of experience may be the reason of that differences in results showed by different trials. In randomized comparison of McGrath MAC video laryngoscope, Pentax Airway Scope, and Macintosh direct laryngoscope for nasotracheal intubation in patients with manual in-line stabilization, Go un roh et al. showed in 2019 that MVL provided better visualization of the glottis and easier intubation, with less additional manipulation than direct laryngoscopy DL during nasotracheal intubation in simulated difficult airways. Additionally, use of the MVL is significantly shortened TTI compared with the DL. This result is matched with our study with difference of being nasotracheal intubation (Roh et al., 2019).

5. CONCLUSION

We conclude from our work that intubation by video laryngoscopies faster than direct laryngoscope and easier to use. More and larger studies are recommended for more precise conclusions regarding this type of laryngoscopes and intubation procedures.
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