

DISCOVERY

57(305), May, 2021

To Cite:

Ekpe II, Oti NN, Uju EU, Mgbeahuru CI, Nwankwo VC, Iheka WC, Okoli NA. Relative comparism of impact of humanure on selected soil heavy metals at vegetative and reproductive stages of water melon in Owerri Nigeria. *Discovery*, 2021, 57(305), 395-402.

Author Affiliation:

¹Department of Soil Science and Technology, School of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Federal University of Technology Owerri P.M.B 1526 Imo state, Nigeria

²Department of Crops Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Nnamdi Azikiwe, Awka, Nigeria

✉Corresponding Author:

E-Mail: ibiamik@yahoo.com / ikwuo2013@gmail.com
Mobile Phone: 08037740280

Peer-Review History

Received: 26 February 2021

Reviewed & Revised: 27/February/2021 to 24/March/2021

Accepted: 25 March 2021

Published: May 2021

Peer-Review Model

External peer-review was done through double-blind method.



© The Author(s) 2021. Open Access. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Relative comparism of impact of humanure on selected soil heavy metals at vegetative and reproductive stages of water melon in Owerri Nigeria

Ekpe II[✉], Oti NN¹, Uju EU¹, Mgbeahuru CI¹, Nwankwo VC¹, Iheka WC¹, Okoli NA²

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted at the Centre for Agricultural Research and Extension, Federal University of Technology Owerri, to evaluate the impact of humanure on selected soil heavy metals at 45days after planting and at post-harvest in Owerri Nigeria. The treatments comprised of T1=Control (0t/ha), T2=NPK (240kg/ha), T3=Humanure (10t/ha), T4= Humanure (15t/ha) and T5= Humanure (20t/ha). The experiment was laid in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with treatments replicated three (3) times to give a total of fifteen (15) plots. Data collected on selected soil heavy metals were: Cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), Copper (Cu), Chromium (Cr), Nickel (Ni) and Zinc (Zn). Raw data obtained was analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and significant means were separated using Fisher least significant difference (F-LSD) at probability level of P=0.005. The results obtained indicated that application of humanure at 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha did not increase the concentration of selected soil heavy metals beyond the FEPA and WHO standard at 45days after planting and at post-harvest. The result of selected heavy metals (Cd, Pb, Cu, Cr, Ni and Zn) declined at post-harvest compared to the result at 45days after planting. This could be as a result of soil decompositing the degraded humanure in a form of chemical reaction that lead to its deformation and subsequent reduction in concentration. Therefore humanure could be regarded as a good source of organic manure and farmers should use it as humanure has proved to be a good replacement to mineral fertilizer.

Key Words: Heavy, Humanure, Impact, Metal, Soil.

1. INTRODUCTION

Humanure is human fecal material and urine that is rich in organic matter and micro nutrients so the agricultural and forestry disposal is widely recommended (Wagne and Aque, 2011). Annually, high quantity of humanure is produced due to rapid increase in Global population and urbanization (Balkhair and Ashraf, 2016) and recently, scientists are encouraging the use of organic wastes for soil

enrichment in farming because wastes can supply virtually all the nutrients required by plants and improve soil physical and biological conditions for sustainable crop production and environmental safety (Ekpe *et al.*, 2016). However, humanure also contains contaminants such as heavy metals, organic compounds and human pathogens which should be considered when used as fertilizer (Wagne and Raquel, 2011).

There are many methods of treating humanure and these include composting, incineration and chemical treatment (Ahansazan *et al.*, 2014). Treated human excreta contains organic matter and nutrients such as zinc (Zn), copper (Cu) and iron (Fe) for soil and plant improvement (Ali *et al.*, 2013). According to Tangahu *et al.*, (2011), heavy metals are elements with metallic properties and an atomic number greater than 14. Ali *et al.*, (2013) classified heavy metals as useful iron (Fe), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), manganese (Mn) and nickel (Ni) and non-useful cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), Arsenic, mercury (Hg) and chromium (Cr). They also reported that heavy metal accumulation in soil poses a serious risk/hazard to the environment, which in turn affects human health. Studies have shown that application of human excreta to the soil without proper treatment aggravated the accumulation of heavy metals which in turn transfer them to the edible part of the crops (Bouring *et al.*, 2014) and (Balkhair and Ashraf, 2016). However, the concentration of certain heavy metals in small quantity are nutritionally essential for a healthy life example iron, copper, manganese and zinc and some of these are referred to as trace elements and some of them are found naturally in fruits and vegetables, but in excess they possess great danger to crops, humans and the environment generally. It is therefore important to check the concentration of heavy metals in organic waste used to substitute for inorganic fertilizer to prevent solving one problem and creating a bigger one in the process. This study therefore investigated the relative comparison of impact of humanure on selected soil heavy metals at forty five (45) days after planting and at harvest in Owerri Nigeria.

2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

Site Description

The study was carried out at Centre for Agricultural Research and Extension Farm Federal University of Technology Owerri, from October 2019 to January 2020. The study site was located between latitude 5°23'4264"N and Longitude 6°57'4264"E. The study site had a humid tropical climate, annual temperature of 27-29°C and annual rainfall of 2,500mm (Okonkwo and Mbajiorgu, 2010), which runs from March to December with its peak in July, through October and November. The dry season last from December to March with a dry dust and cold intervals. The soil of the study area is classified as Ultisols using the USDA soil classification and Acrisol (FAO/UNESCO), (Eshett and Anyahucha, 1992). The Ultisols, (Acrisols in the FAO\UNESCO World Soil Map) are highly acidic, coarse textured and highly leached upland soils. The soil has low mineral reserve and is therefore, low in fertility (Eshett, 1993).

Land Preparation

A total land area of 123.5m² (13×9.5) was used for the study. The experimental site was manually cleared using machetes, spade and hoe. Measuring tapes, pegs and ropes were used in mapping out the treatment plots. Fifteen experimental plots measuring 2.5m×2m was used for the experiment. Each plot was separated by 0.5m to prevent treatments from interfering with each other.

Experimental Materials and Treatments Allocation

Humanure treatment was sourced from works layout Owerri and was cured for one month before application to the soil. The NPK fertilizer was sourced from Agricultural Development Program (ADP) Owerri Imo State. The Treatments comprised of; T1 - Control at 0t/ha, T2 - NPK 20:10:10 at 240kg/ha, T3 - Humanure at 10t/ha, T4 - Humanure at 15t/ha and T5 - Humanure at 20t/ha.

Experimental Design/Field Layout

The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with treatments replicated three times as shown in figure 1.

Soil Sampling

Soil samples were collected at 0-30cm soil depth using a core sampler attached to a soil Auger according to International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, (1975) method of free sampling. Samples collected were air dried, crushed and sieved using 2mm sieve in preparatory for the determination of selected soil heavy metals.

Laboratory Analysis

The laboratory analysis was carried out at Soil Science Laboratory of Federal University of Technology Owerri, Imo State. Acid digestion of soil samples were done by weighing 1.5g of soil sample into a well labeled 100ml Polytetrafluorethylene (PTFE), which was previously acid washed, six milliliter of 65% nitric acid (HNO_3), 3ml of 35% hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) were added to each sample in fume chamber. Blanks were prepared to check for background contamination by the reagents used. The digested soil samples were analyzed for the selected soil heavy metals (Pb, Cr, Cd, Cu, Ni and Zn) using Perk-Elmen A Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS).

Statistical Analysis

Data generated were subjected to Analysis of variance (ANOVA) based on the procedure outlined by Gomez and Gomez (1984). Significant means was separated using Fisher least significant difference (F-LSD) at a probability level of 5%.




Figure 1: Field layout of the Experimental Site

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Selected Heavy Metals of Experimental Soil before Humanure Application

The selected soil heavy metal concentrations of the experimental soil before application of humanure treatment are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Pre-planting Soil Analysis

Soil Heavy Metals and Units of Measurement	Value	FEPA Standard
Lead (mg/100g)	0.145	5.0
Copper (mg/100g)	0.008	8.0
Chromium (mg/100g)	0.010	7.0
Cadmium(mg/100g)	0.005	10.0
Nickel(mg/100g)	0.109	NF
Zinc(mg/100g)	0.042	NF

The result of the analysis of selected soil heavy metals on the experimental soil before the application of humanure treatment showed that lead concentration of the experimental soil before humanure application was low with value of 0.145 mg/100g compared to the FEPA standard of 5.0 mg/100g (Table 1).The concentration of copper (0.008 mg/100g) of the experimental soil before humanure treatment application was also low compared to the FEPA standard of 8.0 mg/100g. Chromium and Cadmium also recorded lower value of 0.010 and 0.005 mg/100g compared to the FEPA standard of 7.0 and 10.0 mg/100g respectively. Nickel and Zinc also recorded lower values compared to WHO standard of 6.5 and 15.0 mg/100g respectively.

Selected Heavy Metal Content of Humanure Used in the Study

The results of the selected soil heavy metals content of humanure used in the study are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Selected Heavy Metal Content of Humanure Used in the Study

Soil Heavy Metals/Units	Values
Zinc (Zn) (mg/100g)	2.30
Lead (Pb) (mg/100g)	1.80
Copper (Cu) (mg/100g)	3.60
Cadmium (Cd) (mg/100g)	0.48
Chromium (Cr) (mg/100g)	1.20
Nickel (Ni) (mg/100g)	2.70

The result of the analysis of humanure used in the study showed the concentration of selected heavy metals contained in the humanure after one month of aerobic composting. The concentration of Pb (1.80/100g) was below the FEPA standard of (5.0 mg/100g), Cd recorded 0.48mg/100g, which was below the FEPA standard of (10.0 mg/100g). Cu recorded 3.60 mg/100g which was also below the permissible limit of FEPA (8.0mg/100g), Cr recorded 1.20 mg/100g and was below the FEPA standard (7.0 mg/100g). Zinc and Nickel recorded 2.30 and 2.70 mg/100g respectively which were below WHO permissible limit for Zinc 15.0 mg/100g and Nickel 6.5mg/100g.

Effect of Humanure on Selected Soil Heavy Metal at Forty Five (45) Days after Planting and at Post-harvest

The results of effect of humanure on selected soil heavy metals at forty five (45) days after planting and at post-harvest are presented in Table 3 and Table 4.

Table 3: Effect of Humanure on Selected Soil Heavy Metal at Forty Five Days after Planting

Treatment	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	F-LSD	FEPA STD
			Mg/100g			P=(0.05)	
Pb	0.143 ^a	0.252 ^c	0.233 ^b	0.267 ^d	0.274 ^d	0.008	5.0
Cu	0.008 ^a	0.014 ^b	0.009 ^a	0.019 ^c	0.024 ^d	0.001	8.0
Cd	0.006 ^a	0.021 ^c	0.012 ^b	0.021 ^c	0.030 ^d	0.010	10.0
Cr	0.009 ^a	0.013 ^b	0.021 ^c	0.030 ^d	0.038 ^e	0.002	7.0
Ni	0.111 ^a	0.125 ^c	0.119 ^b	0.124 ^c	0.128 ^d	0.002	NF
Zn	0.044 ^a	0.057 ^b	0.061 ^c	0.080 ^c	0.111 ^d	0.003	NF

Legend: T1 = Control, T2 = NPK at 240kg/ha, T3 = Humanure at 10t/ha, T4 = Humanure at 15t/ha, T5 = Humanure at 20t/ha, Pb = Lead, Cu = Copper, Cd = Cadmium, Cr = Chromium, Ni = Nickel, Zn = Zinc.

Note: Figures with same superscript are not significantly different.

Table 4: Effect of Humanure on Selected Soil Heavy Metals at Post-harvest

Treatment	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	F-LSD	FEPA STD
			Mg/100g			P=(0.05)	
Pb	0.140 ^a	0.245 ^d	0.224 ^b	0.230 ^c	0.250 ^e	0.008	5.0
Cu	0.006 ^a	0.010 ^b	0.006 ^a	0.010 ^b	0.016 ^c	0.002	8.0
Cd	0.003 ^a	0.010 ^b	0.005 ^a	0.015 ^c	0.022 ^d	0.002	10.0
Cr	0.007 ^a	0.010 ^a	0.014 ^b	0.020 ^c	0.024 ^d	0.003	7.0
Ni	0.181 ^a	0.118 ^c	0.113 ^b	0.114 ^b	0.119 ^c	0.003	NF
Zn	0.044 ^a	0.045 ^a	0.055 ^b	0.060 ^c	0.061 ^c	0.012	NF

Legend: T1 = Control, T2 = NPK at 240kg/ha, T3 = Humanure at 10t/ha, T4 = Humanure at 15t/ha, T5 = Humanure at 20t/ha, Pb = Lead, Cu = Copper, Cd = Cadmium, Cr = Chromium, Ni = Nickel, Zn = Zinc.

Note: Figures with same superscript are not significantly different.

Lead (Pb) mg/100g

The result of analysis of treatment on soil Pb at forty five (45) after planting (Table 3) showed that there were significant differences when the control plot was compared with the treatments. The control plot produced 0.109, 0.09, 0.124 and 0.131 mg/100g less in Pb than the NPK treated plot, 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plot respectively. Also when the NPK treated plot was compared with the humanure treated plots at forty five (45) after planting the 10t/ha humanure treated plot, produced 0.019 less Pb compared with the NPK treated plot whereas the 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plot produced 0.015 and 0.022 mg/100g more in lead compared with the NPK treated plot. However, when the humanure treated plots were compared with one another at forty five (45) days after planting. The 20t/ha humanure treated plot recorded the highest value of Pb and no significant differences were observed when the 15t/ha was compared with the 20t/ha. All the values recorded in the treated plots were below the FEPA standard (Table 3). Also, the result at post-harvest (Table 4) showed that there were significant differences when the control was compared with the treated plots and when the treated plots were compared with one another. The control plot record 0.105, 0.084, 0.09, and 0.11 mg/100g less in lead compared with the NPK treated plot, 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. When the NPK treated plots was compared with the humanure treated plots at post-harvest, the NPK treated plot recorded 0.021 and 0.015 mg/100g more lead compared with the 10t/ha and 15t/ha humanure treated plot and 0.005 mg/100g less lead compared with the 20t/ha humanure treated plot. Also when the humanure treated plots was compared with one another at post-harvest, lead concentration in soil increased as the rates of application increased, the 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha recorded 0.224, 0.230 and 0.250 mg/100g respectively. All the values obtained from the treated plots were below the FEPA standard (Table 4). Again, when the concentration of Pb in soil at forty five (45) days after planting were compared with the concentration of Pb at post-harvest, the values obtained at post-harvest were lower compared with that at forty five (45) days after planting. All the values obtained at forty five (45) days after planting and at post-harvest were lower compared to the FEPA standard (Table 3). This shows that the use of humanure as organic matter did not increase the content of the content of the soil beyond the FEPA permissible limit (Garcia *et al*, 1990).

Copper (Cu) mg/100g

The results of the effects of treatments on soil copper at forty five (45) days after planting (Table 3) showed that there were significant differences when the control plot were compared with the 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots. The control plot produced 0.011 and 0.016 mg/100g less Cu compared with the 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. There was no significant difference when control was compared with the 10t/ha humanure treatment. Also when NPK treated plot was compared with the humanure treated plots, the NPK treated plot recorded higher value than the 10t/ha humanure treated plot. Whereas the 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots recorded 0.005 and 0.010 mg/100g more when compared with the NPK treated plot respectively. When the humanure treated plots were compared with each other at forty five (45) after planting, soil copper increased as the humanure rates increased. The increased soil copper in all the treated plots is by far below the FEPA soil permissible limit of 8.0 mg/100g, (Table 3). Also, the result obtained at post-harvest showed that there were significant differences when the control plot was compared with the NPK treated plot, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plot. The control plot recorded 0.004, 0.004 and 0.010 mg/100g less copper compared with the NPK treated plot, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. There was no significant difference observed when the control plot was compared with the 10t/ha humanure treated plot. When the NPK treated plot was compared with the humanure treated plots at post-harvest, there was significant difference observed in the 15t/ha humanure treated plot. The NPK treated plot recorded 0.004 mg/100g more copper compared with the 10t/ha humanure treated plot and 0.006 mg/100g less copper compared with the 20t/ha humanure treated plot. Again, when the humanure treated plots were compared with one another at post-harvest, soil copper increased as the rates of application increased. The 20t/ha humanure treated plot recorded the highest value of copper content in the soil at post-harvest. However, when the values of copper concentration in soil at forty five (45) days after planting were compared with the values obtained at post-harvest, the values obtained at post-harvest were lower compared with that at forty five (45) days after planting. This could be as a result of the decompositing and degrading of the humanure in a form of chemical reaction that lead to its deformation and subsequent reduction in concentration. All the values recorded at forty five (45) days after planting and at post-harvest were below the FEPA standard of 5.0mg/100g (Table 3).

Cadmium (Cd) mg/100g

Significant differences were observed when the value of Cadmium from the control plot was compared with those from the treated plots and when the value from humanure treated plots were compared with one another at forty five (45) after planting. The control plot produced 0.015, 0.006, 0.015 and 0.024 mg/100g less Cd compared with the NPK, 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. When the NPK treated plot was compared with the humanure treated plots at forty five (45) after planting, the 10t/ha humanure treated plot produced 0.009 mg/100g less Cd compared with the NPK treated plot. There was no significant difference observed when NPK treated plot was compared with the 15t/ha humanure treated plot at forty five (45) after planting. However, when the NPK treated plot was compared with the 20t/ha humanure treated plot, the NPK treated plot recorded 0.009 mg/100g lower Cd concentration compared with the 20t/ha humanure treated plot. Also when the humanure treated plots were compared with one another the 20t/ha humanure treated plot recorded the highest Cd concentration in soil at forty five (45) after planting. The results of Cd concentration at post-harvest are shown in (Table 4), the result showed that there were significant differences when the control plot was compared with the NPK treated plot, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots. The control plot recorded 0.007, 0.012 and 0.019 mg/100g less cadmium compared with the NPK treated plot, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. Also when the NPK treated plot was compared with the humanure treated plots at post-harvest, the NPK treated plot recorded 0.005 mg/100g more cadmium concentration compared with the 10t/ha humanure treated plot and 0.005, 0.012 less cadmium concentration compared with the 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots. When the humanure treated plots were compared with one another, the 10t/ha recorded 0.010 and 0.017 less in cadmium than the 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots. The 20t/ha humanure treated plot recorded the highest value (0.022mg/100g) of cadmium concentration in soil at post-harvest. However, when the values of Cd concentration in soil at forty five (45) days after planting were compared with the values obtained at post-harvest, the values obtained at post-harvest were lower compared with at forty five (45) days after planting. All the values recorded at forty five (45) days after planting and at post-harvest were below the FEPA permissible limit, (Table 3).

Chromium (Cr) mg/100g

The result of the effect of treatments on soil Cr at forty five (45) days after planting showed that there were significant differences when the control was compared with the treatments and when the treatments were compared with one another. The control plot produced 0.012, 0.021, 0.029 mg/100g less Cr concentration compared with the 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plot respectively. Also there was significant difference when the NPK treated plot was compared with the humanure treated plots at

forty five (45) days after planting. The NPK treated plot produced 0.008, 0.017 and 0.025 mg/100g less Cr concentration compared with the 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. When the humanure treated plots were compared with one another at forty five (45) days after planting, the highest value was recorded in the 20t/ha treated plot. Furthermore, there were significant differences when the control plot was compared with the humanure treated plots at post-harvest, the control plot recorded 0.007, 0.013 and 0.017 mg/100g less in chromium compared with the 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. When the NPK treated plot was compared with the humanure treated plots at post-harvest, the NPK treated plot recorded 0.004, 0.010 and 0.014 mg/100g less chromium concentration compared with the 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. Again, when the humanure treated plots were compared with one another at post-harvest, the 10t/ha recorded 0.006 and 0.010 mg/100g less chromium concentration compared with the 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots. Chromium in soil increased with increased rate of humanure application. However, when the Cr concentration in soil at forty five (45) days after planting was compared with the concentration at post-harvest, the values obtained at post-harvest were lower compared with that at forty five (45) days after planting. All the values obtained at forty five (45) after planting and at post-harvest were below the FEPA standard of 7.0 mg/100g, (Table 3).

Nickel (Ni) mg/100g

The result obtained at forty five (45) after planting showed that Ni concentration increased with increasing rate of humanure application. There were significant differences when the control was compared with the humanure treated plots. The control produced 0.008, 0.013 and 0.017 mg/100g less soil Ni concentration compared with the 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. There were also significant difference when the NPK treated plot was compared with the 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plot at forty five (45) after planting. The NPK treated plot produced 0.006 and 0.001 mg/100g higher Ni concentration compared with the 10t/ha and 15t/ha humanure treated plots respectively, whereas the 20t/ha humanure treated plot produced 0.003 mg/100g more soil Ni concentration compared with the NPK treated plot. However, when the humanure treated plots were compared with one another, the 20t/ha recorded the highest value of Ni compared with the 10t/ha and 15t/ha humanure treated plots at forty five (45) after planting. Furthermore, there were significant difference when the control plot was compared with the humanure treated plots at post-harvest, the control plot recorded 0.032, 0.033 and 0.036 mg/100g less Nickel concentration than the 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots. When the NPK treated plot was compared with the humanure treated plots at post-harvest, the NPK plot recorded 0.005 and 0.004 mg/100g higher Nickel concentration compared with the 10t/ha and 15t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. There was no significant difference when the NPK plot was compared with the 20t/ha humanure treated plot at post-harvest. Again, when the humanure treated plots were compared with one another at post-harvest, the 10t/ha and 15t/ha recorded 0.006 and 0.005 mg/100g less in Nickel concentration compared with the 20t/ha humanure treated plot respectively. However, when the Ni concentration in soil at forty five (45) days after planting was compared with the concentration at post-harvest, the values obtained at post-harvest were lower compared with at that forty five (45) days after planting. All the values obtained at forty five (45) days after planting and at post-harvest were below the WHO permissible limit of 6.5mg/100g for nickel concentration in soil, (Ogundele *et al.*, 2015).

Zinc (Zn) mg/100g

The result of the effect of humanure on zinc concentration at forty five (45) days after planting showed that there were significant differences when the control was compared with the humanure treated plots, the control plot produced 0.017, 0.036 and 0.067 mg/100g less Zn concentration compared with the 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. Significant difference were also observed when the NPK treated plot was compared with the humanure treated plot at forty five (45) days after planting, the NPK treated plot produced 0.004, 0.023 and 0.054 mg/100g less Zn concentration than the 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. When the humanure treated plots were compared with one another at forty five (45) days after planting, soil Zn increased with increasing rate of humanure application and the highest value of Zn (0.11mg/100g) was recorded from the 20t/ha humanure treated plot while the control recorded the least value (0.044mg/100g). Furthermore, there were significant differences when the control plot was compared with the humanure treated plots at post-harvest, the control plot recorded 0.015, 0.020 and 0.021 mg/100g less zinc concentration when compared with the 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. There was no significant difference observed when the control plot was compared with the NPK treated plot at post-harvest. Also significant differences were observed when the NPK treated plot was compared with the humanure treated plots at post-harvest. The NPK treated plot recorded 0.010, 0.015 and 0.016 mg/100g less zinc concentration compared with the 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. Again, when the humanure treated plots were compared with one another at post-harvest, there were no significant difference observed in the 15t/ha and 20t/ha. The 10t/ha recorded 0.005 and

0.006 mg/100g less zinc concentration when compared with the 15t/ha and 20t/ha humanure treated plots respectively. However, when the concentration of Zinc at forty five days after planting was compared with the concentration at post-harvest, the values obtained at post-harvest were lower compared with that at forty five (45) days after planting. All the values obtained at forty five (45) days after planting and at post-harvest were below the WHO permissible limit of 15.0mg/100g for zinc in soil, Ogundele *et al.*, (2015). This result confirms the findings of (Ayten *et al.*, 2010) that organic amendments reduce the toxicities of heavy metal in soils.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

This study has shown that application of humanure to the soil at the rate of 10t/ha, 15t/ha and 20t/ha did not increase the concentration of selected heavy metal (Cd, Pb, Cr, Cu, Ni and Zn) in soil above the FEPA and WHO standard. Therefore the use of humanure should be adopted by farmers and its value should not be underestimated.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

There are no funding sources for this paper.

Data and materials availability

All data associated with this study are present in the paper.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Ahansazan B.H., Afrashteh, Ahansazan N and Ahansazan Z. (2014). Activated Sludge Process Overview. International Journal of Environmental Science and Development
- Ali, H., Khan E. and Sajad M. A, (2013). Phytoremediation of Heavy Metals-Concepts and Application. Chemosphere 91(7):869-881
- Ayten, N., Oguz, C. T., Sema, C. C and Ridvan, K., (2010). Effects of Heavy Metals on Soil Enzyme Activities.
- Balkhair K. S and Asharf M. A (2016). Field Accumulation Risks of Heavy Metals in Soil and Vegetable Crop Irrigated with Sewage Water in Western Region of Saudi Arabia. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 32(1):32-44
- Bouring M. L., Algouï-sosse X., Laffray N., Rauof M., Benbrahim P. M., Badot and Alaoui-Sosse (2014). Evaluation of Sewage Sludge Effects on Soil Properties, Plant Growth, Mineral Nutrition State and Heavy Metal Distribution in European Larch Seedlings. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering 39(7):5325-5335
- Ekpe I. I., Okere S. E., Agim L. C., Ahukemere C. M., Ihemtuge S. C., Okoye C., Onura M. D. and Nwaigwe M. O., (2016). Effect of Organic Waste on Soil Heavy Metal Concentration and Growth Characteristics of Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) in an Ultisol.
- Eshett, E. T and Anyahucha C. N, (1992). Effects of Low Lime Rates Application on Nodulation and Grain Yield of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. walp) and Selected Biochemical Properties of Sand in an Ultisol in Owerri, South East Nigeria.
- Eshette, E.T (1993), Wet Lands and Ecotone Studies on Land Water National Institute of Ecology, New Delhi And International Scientific Publication, New Delhi, Pp: 232-234.
- Garcia, C., Hernandez, T. and Costa, F (1990). The Influence of Composting and Maturation Processes on the Heavy Metal Extractability from Soil Organic Wastes. Biological Wastes 31, 291 – 301.
- Gomez, A. K and Gomez, A. A (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research Second Edition John Wiley and Sons Inc. New York, U.S.A 462.
- IITA (1975). Institute for Tropical Agriculture Ibadan Nigeria annual report p.199.
- Ogundele, D. T., Adio, A. A and Oludele, O. E (2015). Heavy Metal Concentrations in Plants and Soil along Heavy Metal Traffic Roads In North Central Nigeria. Journal of Environment and Analytical Toxicology 5:334. Doi:10.4172/2161-0525.1000334.
- Okonkwo G. I, Mbajorgu C. C (2010). Rainfall intensity-Duration - Frequency Analysis for South Eastern Nigeria. Agricultural Engineering International CIGR Journal 12:22-30.
- Tangahu B.V.S., Abdullah R. S., Basri H., Idris M., Anuar N. and Mukhisim (2011). A Review on Heavy Metals (As, Pb and Hg) Uptake by Plants through Photo-Remediation. International Journal of Chemical Engineering (1):1-31
- Wagner B. and Raquel G, (2011). Impacts Of Sewage Sludge in Tropical Soil: Vol 2011, Article ID 212807. Pg 1-11