Discovery

Influence of industrial waste on soil microbial activity

Vamshi Krishna Reddy A[※]**, Venkateswar Reddy K, Vijayalakshmi T, Maruthi Rao**

Centre for Environment, Institute of Science and Technology, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad – 500083, Telangana State, India

[※]**Corresponding Author:** Centre for Environment, Institute of Science and Technology, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad – 500083, Telangana State, India; E-mail: vamshiavkreddy@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The effluents of sugar industry on agricultural lands cause an indicative change in nutrient cycling and organic matter processing. Release of sugar industry effluents on to the agricultural lands causes an indicative change in nutrient cycling and organic matter processing. In the present study, sugar industry effluent discharged soil (test) and undischarged soil (control) were collected from the surrounding areas of sugar industry. The physicochemical, biological properties and soil enzyme activities such as cellulase, amylase, protease and urease were examined. The experimental results indicated that, most of the physicochemical properties such as silt, clay, electrical conductivity, water holding capacity, organic matter and total nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium, microbial population and selected enzyme activities were significantly higher in the test sample than in the control. Additionally, activities were increased with increasing the incubation period upto 21 d over 0 d, however, activities were adversely affected at 28 d. Furthermore, relatively higher activities were observed in soil incubated in the presence of substrate than in the absence of substrate.

Key words: effluents, Sugar industry, biological, soil enzymes, physicochemical.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soil is an important system of terrestrial ecosystem. There is a direct impact of pollutants on minerals, organic matter and microbial community of soil. The discharge of industrial effluents especially without treatment may have profound influence on physico-chemical and biological properties of soil related to soil fertility. A wealth of information on occurrence of changes in properties of soils due to discharge of effluents from other industries is available such as cotton ginning mill, sugar industry, paper industry, dairy industry, and dairy wastewater. Thus, determination of enzyme activity and microbial biomass, soil physico-chemical parameters seems to be the best approach for evaluating the state of microbial activity. Alarmingly, effluents from sugar industry, a major industry that produces huge volume of waste water, contains several toxic and non-biodegradable organic materials, which include sulphur compounds, pulping chemicals, organic acids, chlorinated lignins, resin acids, phenolics, unsaturated fatty acids and terpenes, eventually these may affect soil enzyme activities, which in turn soil fertility. Nevertheless, the soil enzymes occupy a vital role in catalyzing reactions associated with organic matter decomposition and nutrient cycling. In the present study, an attempt has, therefore been made to find out the impact of effluents of sugar industry on soil physical (pH, EC, water holding capacity), chemical (organic matter, total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium), biological (bacterial and fungal populations) properties and selected soil enzyme activities.

2. MATERIALS & METHODS

A. Collection of soil samples

Soil samples were collected from the surrounding areas (1/4 km) of Sri. Rayalaseema Sugar and Energy Limited, at Ayyaluru Metta village, Nandyal mandal, Kurnool district of Andhra Pradesh, India. Soil sample without effluent discharges served as control was collected from adjacent site (1 km away) of industry. Soil samples both with and without effluents were used for determination of physico-chemical, biological and enzyme activities. These two soil samples were air dried and mixed thoroughly to increase homogeneity and shifted to < 2 mm sieves for determination of soil texture.

B. Physico-chemical and biological properties of soil

The physico-chemical and biological properties of test and control soils were determined by the following standard procedures. The soil particles like sand, silt and clay contents were analyzed with the use of different sieves by the method of Alexander. Whereas water holding capacity, organic carbon, total nitrogen, and soluble phosphorous of soil samples were determined by the methods of a Johnson & Ulrich, Walkey-black, Microkjeldhal and Kurrevich and Shcherbakova, respectively. Electric conductivity and pH were determined by Elicoconductivitymeter and pH meters, respectively.

C. Biological parameters

Micro flora such as bacterial and fungal populations of both soil samples were enumerated by serial dilution technique. One gram of each soil sample was serially diluted and 0.1 ml was spread with a sterile spreader on nutrient agar medium and Czapeck-Dox agar medium for the isolation of bacteria and fungi, respectively. Nutrient agar plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h, where as Czapeck-Dox plates were at room temperature for 7 d. After incubation period, colonies formed on the surface of the medium were counted by colony counter (2).

D. Enzyme assays

Five grams of soil samples contaminated with/without effluents of sugar industry effluents were transferred to test tubes. Soil samples were maintained at 60 % water holding capacity at room temperature in the laboratory (28 ± 4 °C). Triplicate soil samples of each waste water treated and controls were withdrawn at periodic intervals to determine the soil enzyme activities as detailed earlier by Tu. The method employed for the assay of amylase, protease, cellulase and urease were essentially the same developed by Cole, Speir and Ross, Pancholy and Rice, and Zantua and Bremner, respectively. The soil samples were transferred to 250 mL of Erlenmeyer flasks and one mL of toluene was added. After 15 min, 6 mL of 0.2 M acetate phosphate buffer (pH 5.5) containing either 2% starch (amylase), 2% casein (protease) and 1% CMC (cellulase) were added to soil samples and flasks were plugged with cotton and held for 48 h (amylase), 24 h (protease), and 30 min (cellulase) at 30 °C. After incubation, soil extracts were passed through whatman filter paper, then glucose (amylase, cellulase) and tyrosine (protease) contents in the filtrate were determined by the methods of Nelson-Somagyi, Lowry, respectively. For urease the method comprises release of ammonia up on incubation of soil with 4 mL of sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 1 mL of 1 M urea solution incubated for 30 min and 10 ml of 2 M KCl was added and kept at 4 °C for 15

min and centrifuged, then 0.5 mL of nesslers reagent followed by 3.5 mL of distilled water were added and the color was read at 495 nm, in an digital spectrophotometer.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil samples of both with and without effluents discharge were analyzed for their physico chemical properties and their results were represented in table 1. Soil samples with sugar industry effluent underwent changes in all measured parameters of physical and chemical properties in comparison to control. There was no noticeable change in the pH of the test soil over control. However, soil textures in terms of percentage of clay, silt, sand were 47, 28, 25 in test and 42, 24, 34 in the control soils, respectively. Higher water holding capacity was observed in test soil than control, values were found to be 7.8 and 2.4 mL g^{-1}, respectively. The electrical conductivity of both test and control soils were 1.6 and 0.29 μ Mhos cm^{-1}, respectively. Increased water holding capacity and electrical conductivity in contaminated soil may be due to the accumulation of organic waste such as amino acid residues, acids and alkalis in the sugar industry effluents.

Table 1 Physico - Chemical Characteristics of Soil Samples

Character	Control	Test
Color	Black	Thick black
Odor	Normal	Unpleasant
pH (1:1.25 soil–water slurry)	7.51	6.72
Texture:		
Clay (%)	42	47
Silt (%)	24	28
Sand (%)	34	25
Electrical conductivity (μmhos/cm)	0.29	1.6
60% Water-holding capacity (mL g^{-1})	2.4	7.8
Organic matter (%)	3.0	3.6
Total nitrogen (g kg^{-1} soil)	0.18	0.20
Available phosphorus (P_2O_5) in (kg/ha)	328	483
Available potassium (K_2O) in (kg/ha)	823	1079

    The results were in conformity with the studies of Sparling et al, Narasimha et al, Poonkothai and Parvatham, and Xiao et al had increased electrical conductivity in soil contaminated by the effluents of dairy, cotton ginning, automobile, and black liquor for straw pulping industries, respectively. The parameters like organic matter percentage, total nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium were higher in test soil than the control soil. The values of above properties of test sample were 3.6 %, 0.20 g kg^{-1}, 1079 kg ha^{-1}, 483 kg ha^{-1}, and control soil were 3.0 %, 0.18 g kg^{-1}, 823 kg ha^{-1}, 328 kg ha^{-1}, respectively (Table 1). Higher organic matter of the polluted soil may be due to the discharge of waste water in organic nature. Also, increased organic matter enhanced soil enzyme activity. Narasimha et al (2) and Kaushik et al made similar reports on the discharge of effluents from cotton ginning and distillery industries, respectively. Thus, soil is a potent system of terrestrial ecosystem, and direct discharge of industrial effluents especially that without treatment may have profound influence on physico-chemical and biological properties of soil related to soil fertility. Similarly, discharge of effluents from various industries like sugar industry (1), dairy factory (5) and petrochemical industry influenced the

physico-chemical properties of soil. This is due to organic waste that may contribute to maintain or increase the organic matter and nutrient content in the soil.

The microorganisms play a vital role in nutrient cycling and soil fertility. Bacteria and fungi synthesize and secrete enzymes such as amylase, cellulase, ureases, proteases, phosphatases, pectinases are extracellular. Those microbial secreted enzymes constitute an important part of soil matrix as extra cellular enzymes (7). Thus, there is a considerable interest in the study of enzyme activities of soil, because such activities may reflect the potential capacity of a soil to form certain biological transformation of importance to soil fertility. Micro flora of both samples were enumerated and listed in table 2. Polluted soil caused two fold increases in bacterial and fungal population compared to control soil (Table 2).

Table 2 Microflora* of Soil Samples

Microflora	Control	Test
Bacteria	15×10^6	20×10^6
Fungi	45×10^5	64×10^5

* Colony forming units per g of soil

Furthermore, the enzymes protease and amylase play a crucial role in catalysing the hydrolysis and solubilising the substrates containing N_2 and C, respectively. The activity of the protease in polluted and non polluted soils was determined and results listed in table 3. The activity of protease, as evidenced by the accumulation of tyrosine from casein was considerably greater in the soils polluted with effluents at all incubations over control. Furthermore, both the samples showed increased activity upto 21 d of interval and then the activity was declined at further incubation. For instance, test sample exhibited 0.83 mg of tyrosine equivalents per gram of soil per 24 h against 0.69 mg TE g^{-1} 24 h^{-1} of control at 0 d, later it was increased in both soils upto 21 d and declined at 28 d interval. However, the increased protease activity in polluted soil over control may be due to availability of substrate and or casein degrading microflora in polluted soil (Table 3). Similar results were reported by Reddi Pradeep and Narasimha that cotton ginning effluents increased the soil protease activity.

Table 3 Protease Activity* in Soil after 24 H Incubation as influenced by Sugar Industry Effluents

Incubation in days	Protease activity			
	Test		Control	
	WS	WOS	WS	WOS
0	0.83 ± 0.01	0.60 ± 0.04	0.69 ± 0.01	0.64 ± 0.02
7	0.91 ± 0.02	0.82 ± 0.03	0.90 ± 0.01	0.78 ± 0.02
14	1.45 ± 0.03	1.12 ± 0.02	1.12 ± 0.01	0.97 ± 0.02
21	1.49 ± 0.05	1.47 ± 0.04	1.40 ± 0.07	1.13 ± 0.04
28	0.71 ± 0.04	0.57 ± 0.06	0.66 ± 0.01	0.59 ± 0.05

* mg tyrosine g^{-1} 24 h^{-1}

Control - Soil without sugar industry effluents.

Test – Soil polluted with sugar industry effluents.

WS – with substrate.

WOS – without substrate.

All entries are average mean of triplicate values.

The amylase activity was measured in terms of release of glucose from starch and the results were listed in table 4.

Table 4 Amylase activity* in Soil after 48 H incubation as Influenced by Sugar Industry Effluents

Incubation in days	Amylase activity			
	Test		Control	
	WS	WOS	WS	WOS
0	0.60 ± 0.04	0.36 ± 0.06	0.30 ± 0.02	0.24 ± 0.03
7	0.64 ± 0.05	0.45 ± 0.04	0.43 ± 0.04	0.34 ± 0.01
14	0.67 ± 0.02	0.48 ± 0.04	0.50 ± 0.04	0.38 ± 0.04
21	0.81 ± 0.03	0.54 ± 0.01	0.57 ± 0.03	0.47 ± 0.04
28	0.51 ± 0.01	0.31 ± 0.03	0.35 ± 0.04	0.22 ± 0.05

*mg glucose g^{-1} 48 h^{-1}
Refer table 3 foot note for other details.

There was an increase in activity upto 21 d incubation, there after activities were adversely affected. For instance, amylase activity in polluted soil increased from 0.60 to 0.81 mg glucose equivalents g^{-1} 48 h^{-1} from 0 to 21 d. Later it was decreased to 0.51 mg GE g^{-1} 48 h^{-1} at 28 d (Table 4). Comparison of soil amylase activity in soil samples with/without effluents discharged revealed that the soil polluted with effluents stimulated the amylase activity by ~ 2 fold than control soil. Narasimha et al (2) made a similar observation in soils polluted with cotton ginning mill effluents stimulated the soil amylase activity. The cellulase activity was measured in terms of release of glucose from CMC. There was an increase in the formation of glucose with increasing soil incubation such as 0, 7, 14, and 21 d. The cellulase activity was decreased after 21 d of incubations (Table 5).

Table 5 Cellulase activity* in Soil after 30 min incubation as influenced by Sugar Industry Effluents

Incubation in days	Activity of cellulase			
Incubation in days	Activity of cellulase			
	Test		Control	
	WS	WOS	WS	WOS
0	0.42 ± 0.04	0.30 ± 0.06	0.40 ± 0.05	0.22 ± 0.01
7	0.55 ± 0.06	0.50 ± 0.04	0.50 ± 0.06	0.32 ± 0.01
14	0.68 ± 0.04	0.57 ± 0.06	0.58 ± 0.04	0.47 ± 0.06
21	0.74 ± 0.03	0.66 ± 0.04	0.67 ± 0.04	0.58 ± 0.06
28	0.27 ± 0.06	0.22 ± 0.04	0.16 ± 0.06	0.14 ± 0.05

*mg glucose g^{-1} 30 min^{-1}
Refer table 3 foot note for other details.

For instance, the cellulase activity in test soil increased from 0.42 mg GE g^{-1} 30 min^{-1} to 0.74 mg GE g^{-1} 30 min^{-1} 0 to 21 d. Later it was decreased to 0.27 mg GE g^{-1} 30 min^{-1} at 28 d incubation. Same was reported by Narasimhan et al., (2) in soils polluted with effluents of cotton ginning mills stimulated the soil cellulase activity at early d of incubation. Urea is an organic chemical complex

used mainly as nitrogenous fertilizer in agriculture. Conversion of this nitrogen to inorganic nitrogen-ammonia and carbon dioxide takes place due to activity of urease enzyme, secreted by certain microorganisms and is responsible for supply of nitrogenous demand to growing crops. Assay of urease activity in soil samples involves quantification of ammonia released upon hydrolysis of urea. Urease activity in soils with/without effluents discharges was measured and results were shown in table 6. Urease activity also increased upto 21 d of incubation and later declined. For instance the urease activity in test soil with substrate at 0 d was 2.62 mg NH_4^+-N g^{-1} 30 min^{-1}, it was increased to 3.0 mg NH_4^+-N g^{-1} 30 min^{-1} at 21 d and declined to 2.63 mg NH_4^+-N g^{-1} 30 min^{-1} at 28 d (Table 6).

Table 6 Urease activity* in soil after 30 min incubation as influenced by Sugar Industry Effluents

Incubation in days	Urease activity			
	Test		Control	
	WS	WOS	WS	WOS
0	2.62 ± 0.08	1.68 ± 0.08	1.42 ± 0.07	0.93 ± 0.05
7	2.76 ± 0.09	1.88 ± 0.05	1.60 ± 0.09	1.22 ± 0.07
14	2.93 ± 0.09	2.00 ± 0.07	1.82 ± 0.07	1.62 ± 0.05
21	3.00 ± 0.10	2.45 ± 0.09	2.16 ± 0.08	1.98 ± 0.08
28	2.63 ± 0.09	1.67 ± 0.09	1.40 ± 0.08	1.09 ± 0.07

*mg NH_4^+-N g^{-1} 30 min^{-1}
Refer table 3 foot note for other details.

Similar trend was also noticed in other samples also. Similar results were noticed by Narasimha et al (24) that urease activity was increased in the first week of incubation, there after declined in soil contaminated with cotton ginning mill effluents.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The present study clearly indicates that the disposal of effluents from sugar industry alters the physic-chemical, biological properties and activities of enzymes such as protease, amylase, cellulase and urease were stimulated in soil over control. Nonetheless, prolonged incubation causes adverse effects. Thus, this observation, therefore, greatly warrants a prior treatment of sugar industry effluents before discharging into a water body or on to agricultural land and additional research will be necessary to discriminate the type of these extra cellular enzyme producing microorganisms (genera and species).

REFERENCE

1. Narasimha, G., A. Sridevi, A. VenkataSubba Reddy and B. Rajasekhar Reddy. 2011. Effects of cotton ginning mill effluents on soil enzymaticactivities and nitrogen mineralization in soil. Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research. 3:128-137.

2. Nagaraju, M., G. Narasimha, and V. Rangaswamy. 2007. Impact of effluents of sugar industry on Physicochemical and biological properties. Journal of Industrial Pollution Control. 23:73-76.

3. Nagaraju, M., G. Narasimha, and V. Rangaswamy. 2009. Impact of Sugar Industry Effluents on Soil Cellulase Activity. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation. 63(8):1088-1092.

4. Nilima, W. and S. Madhuri. 2005. Fungal diversity of sugar industry waste and soils irrigated by the effluents. Nature Environment and Pollution Technology. 4:44-52.

5. Nizamuddin, S., Sridevi, A., and Narasimha, G. 2008. Impact of dairy factory effluents soil enzyme activities. 2008. Ecology, Environment and Conservation. 14:89-94.

6. David ShyamBabu, S. 2010. Protease and phospatase activity of soil contaminated with dairy waste water. Asian Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology, Environmental Science. 12.

7. Sinsabaugh, R.L.1994. Enzymic analysis of microbial pattern and process. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 17:69-74

8. Alexander, M. 1961. Introduction to soil microbiology. Wiley Estern Ltd. New Delhi

9. Johnson, C.M., and A. Ulrich. 1960. Determination of moisture in plant tissues. Calif. Agri. Bull. In Soil and Plant analysis for tree culture (Ed., S.A.Wilde et al.,) Obortage publishing Co. Oxford and Bombay.

10. Nelson, D.W., and L.E. Sommers. 1996. Total carbon, organic and organic matter. In: Sparks, D.L. (Ed.), Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3. Soil Science Society of America Book Series. American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin. 961–1010.

11. Jackson, M.L. 1971. Soil Chem. Anal. Prentice Hall India, New Delhi

12. Kuprevich, V. E. and T.A. Shecherbakova. 1972. Comparative enzymatic activity in diverse types of soil organisms. In: Soil Pollution and Soil Organisms, (Ed. P.C. Mishra) Ashsih Publishing House, New Delhi.

13. Tu, C.M. 1982. Influence of pesticides on activities of amylase, invertase and level of adenosine triphosphate inorganic soil. Chemosphere. 2:909-914.

14. Cole, M.A. 1977. Lead inhibition of enzyme synthesis in soil. Applied Environmental Microbiology. 33: 262-268.

15. Speir, T.W., and D.J. Ross. 1975. Effects of storage on the activities of protease, urease, phosphatase and sulphatase in three soils under pasture. New Zeland Journal of Science. 18:231-237.

16. Pancholy, S.K., and E.L. Rice. 1973. Soil enzymes in relation to old field succession: Amylase, cellulase, invertase, dehydrogenase and urease. Soil Science Society of America-Proceedings. 37:47-50.

17. Xiao, C., M. Fauci, D.F. Bezdicek, W.T. McKean, and W.L. Pan. 2005. Soil Microbial Responses to Potassium-Based Black Liquor fro Straw Pulping. Soil Science Society American Journal. 70:72-77.

18. Nelson, N. 1944. A photometric adaptation of Somogyi method for determination of glucose. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 153:375-380.

19. Lowry, O.H., H.I. Rouse Bough, A.L. Fair, and R.I. Randall. 1951. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 193:265-275.

20. Sparling G.P., L.A.Scipper, and R.J.M. Rusasel. 2001. Changes in soil properties after long term irrigation of dairy factory effluents to Newzeland volcanic ash and pumice soils. Australian Journal of Soil Research. 39:505-518.

21. Poonkothai, M and R. Parvatham.2005. Bio- physical chemical assessments of automobile waste water. Journal of Industrial Pollution and Control. 21:59-62

22. Xiao, C., M. Fauci, D.F. Bezdicek, W.T. McKean, and W.L. Pan. 2005. Soil Microbial Responses to Potassium-Based Black Liquor fro Straw Pulping. Soil. Sci. Soc. Am. J. 70:72-77.

23. Kaushik, A., R. Nisha, K. Jagjeeta, and C.P. Kaushik. 2005. Impact of long and short term irrigation of a sodic soil with distillery effluent in combination with bioaendments. Bioresource Technology. 96:1860-1866.

24. Narasimha, G., G.V.A.K. Babu, and B. Rajasekhar Reddy. 1999. Physico-chemical and biological properties of soil samples collected from soil contaminated with effluents of cotton ginning industry. Journal of Environmental Biology, 20:235-239.

25. Andrade, M.L. 2002. Industrial Impact of Marsh Soils at the Bahia BlancaRia, Argentina. Journal of Environmental Quality. 31:532-538.

26. Bollag, J.M., J. Berthelin, D. Adriano, and P.M. Huang. 2002. Impact of soil minerals-organic component – microorganisms interactions on restoration of terrestrial ecosystems. Poster presentation, paper no.861, Symposium no.47. 17th WCSS.

27. Burns, R.G., L.J. Gregory, G. Lethbridge, and N.M. Pettit. 1978. The effect of irradiation on soil enzyme stability. Exprerientia.

28. Chandrayan, K., T.K. Adhya, and N. Sethunathan. 1980. Dehydrogenase and invertase activity of flooded Soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2:127-137.

29. Reddi Pradeep, M., and G. Narasimha. 2012. Effect of leather industrial effluents on soil microbial and protease activity. Journal of Environmental Biology. 33:39-42