

International journal of adulteration

To Cite:

Imam MM, Kankara IL, Abba Y. Determination of some heavy metals in borehole and well water from selected industrial areas of Kaduna metropolis. *International journal of adulteration*, 2018; 2: e1jad3006
doi: <https://doi.org/10.54905/disssi.v2i2.e1jad3006>

Author Affiliation:

Department of applied science, college of science and technology,
Kaduna polytechnic, Nigeria
E-mail: mmimam9312@gmail.com

Peer-Review History

Received: 15 May 2018
Reviewed & Revised: 27/May/2018 to 12/August/2018
Accepted: 23 August 2018
Published: 27 August 2018

Peer-Review Model

External peer-review was done through double-blind method.

International journal of adulteration
eISSN (Online) 2456 – 0294



© The Author(s) 2018. Open Access. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Determination of some heavy metals in borehole and well water from selected industrial areas of Kaduna metropolis

Mahmud Mohammed Imam, Ibrahim L Kankara, Yagana Abba

ABSTRACT

The boreholes and well water from Kakuri, Makera were digested using wet digestion technique and the heavy metal concentration were analysed using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS). The element analyzed are; copper, zinc, lead, iron and nickel. The concentrations of heavy metals analyzed in this research work were all higher than those obtained in the control site (Mando). This is due to the industrial, human and domestic activities which are higher in the study sites than the control site. The concentrations of lead, nickel and iron in both well and bore hole water are statistically significant at ($p < 0.05$) with exception of zinc and copper which were not statistically significant at ($p < 0.05$) value. The results obtained in this study shows that, well water from Kakuri have the highest concentration of Iron (1.18mg/l), followed by Copper in well water from Kudenden area with 0.72mg/l, then Nickel in well water from Makera with 0.69mg/l and Lead in well water from Kakuri with 0.21mg/l, except for zinc which was between permissible limit. The concentrations determined were more than the maximum admissible and desirable limit when compared with the National and International organizations like, World Health Organisation, WHO (2008) and Nigerian Standard for Drinking Water Quality, NSDWQ (2007). The implications of these high levels of such metals in human health were highlighted.

Keywords: Well water, bore hole water, heavy metals, Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer, Kaduna Metropolis, Nigeria.

1. INTRODUCTION

Water availability is not a problem on global scale; it may be a problem finding high quality fresh water at the required place, in the required quantity (Radojavic *et al*, 1992; Oluduro and Anyakora, 2007; WHO, 2006; Itodo *et al*,

2010). As a result of the increasing demand for water and shortage of supply, it is necessary to increase the rate of water development in the world and to ensure that the water is used more efficiently. Drinking water should be suitable for human consumption and for all usual domestic purposes (WHO, 2006). The importance of water in daily living makes it imperative that thorough examinations be conducted on it before consumption (Ademoroti, 1996).

The major anthropogenic sources of heavy metals are industrial waste from mining sites, manufacturing and metal finishing plants, domestic waste water, and acid rain runoff from roads. Many of these trace metals are highly toxic to humans, such as Lead (Pb), Mercury (Hg), chromium (Cr), Nickel (Ni), Cadmium (Cd), Arsenic (As) and Tin (Sn). Their presence in surface water and underground water at above background concentration is undesirable (Radojavić *et al.*, 1992).

Heavy metal is a generic term that describes the group of metals and metalloids with atomic density greater than 4 g/cm³ or 5 times or more, greater than water. Heavy metal according to Duruibe *et al.*, (2007) has little to do with density but concerns chemical properties. However, lead, cadmium, mercury and arsenic are main threats to human health when exposed to them. Heavy metals have been excessively released into the environment due to rapid industrialization and have created a major global concern (Wan Ngah and Hanafiah, 2007).

Heavy metals (Nickel, Cadmium, Lead etc.) affect the nervous systems, damage the kidney, the liver and even skin and bones. Exposure to high levels of mercury and lead can cause various cancers and even death. It has also been associated with the development of auto-immune disease, in which the immune system starts to attack its own cells, mistaking them for foreign bodies (Yasar *et al.*, 2010).

Lead absorbed in trace amounts over a long period of time and can accumulate in the body to a level which exceeds the threshold level thereby, produces a long delayed toxic symptom.

More so, children are known to be more susceptible to lead poisoning, suffering from mental retardation, disturbed behaviour and serious brain damage. In adult, high dose result in general metabolic discomfort, irritable anemia, convulsion and even death if not checked (Underwood and Pollack, 2009).

Zinc is an essential element for both animal and man, and it is important in the functioning of various enzymes system e.g alkaline phosphates. Acute Zn toxicity in human includes vomiting, dehydration, drowsiness, lethargy, electrolytic imbalance, abdominal pain, nausea, lack of muscular coordination, and renal failure. Chronic dose of Zn increases the risk of developing anemia, damage to the pancreas (Athar and Vohora, 1995).

Iron is essential compound in human and plays an important role in biology, forming complexes with molecular oxygen in haemoglobin and myoglobin; these two compounds are common oxygen transport protein in vertebrate.

Copper is essential for the formation of enzyme in human beings. Overdose of copper may lead to wide spread capillary damage, hepatic and renal damage and central nervous system irritation followed by depression. Copper toxicity includes blue green diarrhea stool and saliva and acute haemolysis and abnormalities of kidney functions. Wilson's disease is an inborn error of metabolism where the inherited defect lies in the incorporation of Cu²⁺ into apocerplasmin to form ceruloplasmin and also impaired ability of the liver to excrete Cu into the bile which leads to Cu accumulation in tissues of liver, brain, kidney and cornea resulting in organ damage (Scheiber and Julian, 2013).

Nickel is a chemical element and abundant on earth, most notably the planet's iron/nickel core. It is used in the manufacture of many alloys and products stainless steel, ceramic paint, jewelry, kitchen ware, batteries, textiles and coins. Nickel is released into the environment by power plant, metal factories and waste incinerators. It causes conjunctivitis, eosinophilic pneumonitis, asthma and local or system reaction to Ni containing prostheses such as joint replacements, pins, cardiac valve replacements,

Wells can vary greatly in depth, water volume, and water quality (Britannica, 2011). Natural-well-water chemical substance are toxic, pathogen can produce water borne diseases in either human or animal (West and Carry, 2006).

The aim and objective of this research work is to determine some heavy metals (Cu, Zn, Ni, Fe and Pb) in borehole and well water samples from Selected Kaduna metropolis and to correlate the result obtained to standards prescribed by some regulatory agencies such as WHO and NSDWQ so as to ascertain the level of their contamination.

2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

Sampling procedure

Three set of water samples were collected from each sampling sites and analysed. The sampling sites were; Kakuri (KKR), Makera (MKR), and Kudenden (KDD) Industrial Area of Kaduna Metropolis. In each location the water samples were collected in triplicate from each source. These sources were; well water (WW) and borehole water (BHW). The sample bottles were washed properly and dried prior to the collections. Both well water and borehole water were also obtained from Mando (MND) to serve as control. This

is because, in this control site (Mando) there is no industrial or less human activities taking place. The samples collected were labeled and then taken to the laboratory for analysis.

Digestion of the water samples

50ml of water was measured using measuring cylinder and poured into beaker and was heated to evaporation at 100°C in fume cupboard, then removed from heating mantle and allowed to cool. 10ml of aqua regia was also added to the beaker and its content and heated to dryness. The beaker was removed and cooled and then 25ml of distilled water was added to the beaker, stirred and filtered in 50ml volumetric flask and made to mark. The digested sample was transferred to the sample bottle and labeled. The heavy metals such as Cu, Ni, Fe, Zn and Pb were determined using Shimadzu AA-6800 model of Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS).

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 The Mean Concentration (mg/l) of Copper in well and borehole water in selected industrial Area

| Sampling Sites | Water Samples Analyzed | |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | WW | BHW |
| KDD | 0.72 ± 0.02 | 0.71 ± 0.01 |
| MKR | 0.70 ± 0.03 | 0.71 ± 0.01 |
| KKR | 0.71 ± 0.01 | 0.71 ± 0.01 |
| MND | 0.50 ± 0.01 | 0.30 ± 0.01 |

KEY: KDD (Kudende), MKR (Makera), KKR (Kakuri) and MND (Mando) as control. WW (Well water) and BHW (Bore hole water).

Table 1 shows the mean concentrations of copper from the sampling sites (KDD, MKR and KKR) were found to be above the allowable limit. The mean concentrations for WW obtained were 0.72, 0.70 and 0.71 mg/l and BHW 0.71mg/l. These exceed 0.05mg/l given by WHO (2008) and below 1mg/l given by NSDWQ (2007). The concentrations of copper in well and bore hole water were not statistically significant at ($p < 0.05$) value. The result obtained for copper in the present study for both well water and bore water are above that obtained in the control site (MND) which are 0.50 and 0.30 mg/l respectively. This is due to the less industrial activities taken place in the control site. The concentration of copper obtained is almost the same with that reported by Jatau *et al.*, (2008) in water analysis from Kaduna metropolis, which was in the range of 0.12-0.34mg/l. Also, Abdulrahman *et al.*, (2011) analysed for copper in ground water from Riyadh and was found to be 0.02mg/l which is lower than that obtained in this research work. Ogbonna *et al.*, (2011) obtained 0.05mg/l in water samples from Kano metropolis, also lower than the concentration obtained in this research work. The high concentration in this study is as a result of industrial pollution in the sampling sites. At the same time, a common source of contaminating water is from the industrial effluent and natural deposits. Long term exposure may lead to liver or kidney damage (EPA, 2005).

Table 2 The Mean Concentration (mg/l) of Nickel in well and borehole water in selected industrial Area

| Sampling Sites | Water Samples Analysed | |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | WW | BHW |
| KDD | 0.62 ± 0.08 | 0.67 ± 0.02 |
| MKR | 0.69 ± 0.02 | 0.69 ± 0.02 |
| KKR | 0.66 ± 0.01 | 0.67 ± 0.01 |
| MND | 0.47 ± 0.02 | 0.44 ± 0.01 |

Table 2 shows the concentration of Nickel in the water samples analysed, which was obtained from three sampling sites (KDD, MKR and KKR). The mean concentrations obtained from the analysis were; 0.62, 0.69 and 0.66mg/l for well water sample and 0.67, 0.69 and 0.67mg/l for borehole. These concentrations exceed 0.05mg/l given by WHO (2008) and 0.02mg/l given by NSDWQ (2007).

The concentrations of Nickel in well and bore hole water are statistically significant at ($p < 0.05$) value. The result obtained for nickel analysed in the present study for both well and bore hole water were higher than that obtained in the control site (MND) which are 0.47 and 0.44 mg/l respectively. This is because there is no much industrial as well as human activities in the control site when compared with the studied sites. In the present study, the concentration of nickel obtained is almost the same with that reported by Jatau *et al.*, (2008) in water analysis from Kaduna metropolis, which was 0.28mg/l. Also, Abdulrahman *et al.*, (2011) analysed for copper in ground water from Riyad and was found to be 0.07mg/l which is the same with that obtained in this research work, Ogbonna *et al.*, (2011) obtained 0.08mg/l in water sample from Kano metropolis. The high concentration of Nickel in this study is as a result of industrial effluent in the sampling sites and natural sources (acid rain and soil weathering). High concentration of nickel beyond allowable limit may cause dermatitis (insensitivity in people).

Table 3 The Mean Concentration (mg/l) of Iron in well and borehole water in selected industrial Area

| Sampling Sites | Water Samples Analysed | |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| | WW | BHW |
| KDD | 0.71 \pm 0.021 | 0.36 \pm 0.09 |
| MKR | 0.38 \pm 0.16 | 0.35 \pm 0.01 |
| KKR | 1.18 \pm 0.81 | 0.33 \pm 0.10 |
| MND | 0.25 \pm 0.02 | 0.22 \pm 0.03 |

Table 3 Shows the concentration of Iron in the water sample analyzed, the samples were obtained from three location (KKD, MKR and KKR), the result obtained were 0.71, 0.38 and 1.18mg/l for well water and 0.36, 0.35 and 0.33mg/l for borehole water, the result exceeds 0.3mg/l given by WHO (2008) and NSDWQ (2007). The concentrations of iron in well and bore hole water are statistically significant at ($p < 0.05$). The concentrations of iron analysed in the present research work shows that both well and bore hole water were higher than that obtained in the control site (Mando) which are 0.25 and 0.22 mg/l respectively. This is as a result of industrial pollution is higher in the studied site more than that of the control site. In the present study, the concentration of iron obtained is almost the same with that reported by Jatau *et al.*, (2008) in water analysis from Kaduna metropolis, which was in the range of 0.32-0.67mg/l. Also, Abdulrahman *et al.*, (2011) analysed for iron in ground water from Riyad and was found to be 0.09mg/l which is lower than that obtained in this research work. Ogbonna *et al.*, (2011) obtained 0.04mg/l in water sample from Kano metropolis, also lower than the concentration obtained in this research. The high concentration of iron is due to anthropogenic activity and industrial waste in the sampling site. The body needs iron to fight bacterial infection, however excessive iron can damage the cells of the gastrointestinal tract and may also damage the cells in the heart and liver (Adriano, 2001).

Table 4 The Mean Concentration (mg/l) of Zinc in well and borehole water in selected industrial Area

| Sampling Sites | Water Samples Analysed | |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| | WW | BHW |
| KDD | 0.23 \pm 0.03 | 0.28 \pm 0.06 |
| MKR | 0.23 \pm 0.10 | 0.26 \pm 0.06 |
| KKR | 0.44 \pm 0.06 | 0.29 \pm 0.04 |
| MND | 0.18 \pm 0.01 | 0.15 \pm 0.02 |

Table 4 Shows the concentration of zinc in the water sample analysed, the samples were obtained from three locations (KDD, MKR and KKR). The mean concentrations for well water were 0.23, 0.23 and 0.44mg/l and 0.28, 0.26 and 0.29mg/l respectively for borehole water. These concentrations obtained were below 5mg/l given by WHO (2008) and below 3mg/l given by NSDWQ (2007). The concentrations of zinc in well and bore hole water are not statistically significant at ($p < 0.05$) value. The result obtained for zinc in this research work for the well and bore hole water are above that of the control site (MND) which are 0.18 and 0.15mg/l respectively. This because of less industrial as well as human activities in the study areas which are more polluted than the control sites. In the present study the concentration of zinc obtained is almost the same with that reported by Jatau *et al.*, (2008) in water analysis from Kaduna metropolis which was in the range of 0.12-0.16mg/l. Also, Abdulrahman *et al.*, (2011) analysed for zinc in

ground water from Riyadh and was found to be 0.01mg/l which is lower than that obtained in this research work. Ogbonna *et al.*, (2011) obtained 0.04-0.08mg/l in water sample from Kano metropolis also lower than that obtained in this research work. However, signs of zinc deficiency include hair loss, wasting of body tissues and eventually death (EPA, 2005).

Table 5 The Mean Concentration (mg/l) of Lead in well and borehole water in selected industrial Area

| Sampling Sites | Water Samples Analysed | |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | WW | BHW |
| KDD | 0.09 ± 0.05 | 0.17 ± 0.02 |
| MKR | 0.16 ± 0.08 | 0.15 ± 0.06 |
| KKR | 0.21 ± 0.08 | 0.19 ± 0.05 |
| MND | 0.05 ± 0.01 | 0.03 ± 0.01 |

Table 5 Shows the concentration of lead in the water samples analysed, the sample were obtained from three sampling sites (KKD, MKR and KKR). The concentrations of lead from well water were 0.09, 0.16 and 0.21mg/l and borehole water were 0.17, 0.15 and 0.19mg/l respectively. The mean concentrations exceeded 0.05mg/l given by WHO, (2008) and 0.01mg/l given by NSDWQ, (2007). The concentrations of lead in well and bore hole water are statistically significant at ($p < 0.05$). The concentration of lead obtained in this research work for well and bore hole water were higher than the concentration obtained in the control site (MND) which are 0.02 and 0.01 mg/l respectively. This is due to the fact that the study areas were more polluted with these toxic elements as a result of industrial activities than the control sites. However, in the present study, the concentration obtained is almost the same with that reported by Jatau *et al.*, (2008) in water analysis from Kaduna metropolis which was in the range of 0.06-0.29mg/l. Also, Abdulrahman *et al.*, (2011) analysed for pb in ground water from Riyadh and was found to be 0.07mg/l which is lower than that obtained in this research work. Ogbonna *et al.*, (2011) obtained 0.01-0.09mg/l in water sample from kano metropolis, also lower than the concentration obtained in this research work. The high concentration of lead in this study is as a result of industrial pollution in the sampling sites. Constant exposure to Pb may lead to delays in physical or mental development in infants and children, while adults may have kidney problems and high blood pressure. Lead contaminate water due to corrosion of household plumbing systems, industrial waste, mining and erosion of natural deposits (EPA, 2005)

4. CONCLUSION

It was observed from the analysis carried out to ascertain the level of some heavy metals in water samples collected from selected industrial area of Kaduna metropolis, the research revealed that Cu, Pb, Ni and Fe levels in WW and BHW samples exceeds the permissible limits recommended by WHO and NSDWQ. The concentrations of heavy metals analyzed in this research work were all higher than those obtained in the control site (Mando). This is due to the industrial, human and domestic activities which are higher in the study sites than the control site. However, the concentration of Zn in the samples falls below the standard limits. Heavy metals pose a number of hazards to human health. Therefore, their concentration in the environment and their effects on human health must be regularly monitored.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the support and assistance rendered by the technologists in the chemistry laboratory of the Department of Applied science, Kaduna Polytechnic.

Ethical issues

Not applicable.

Informed consent

Not applicable.

Funding

This study has not received any external funding.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares that there are no conflicts of interests.

Data and materials availability

All data associated with this study are present in the paper.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. Abdulrahman I., Alabdula'aly, Abdullahi I. Alzarrah and Mujahid A. (2011). Assessment of Trace Metals in Ground water sources used for Drinking purposes in Riyadh Region. *International Journal of water Resources and Arid Environments* 1(1):05-09, 2011.
2. Adriano, D.C., (2001). Trace metals in terrestrial environment, Biogeochemical, Bioavailability and Risks of Metals 3:133.
3. Ademoroti, C.M. (1996). Soil, Water and Air Environmental chemistry and toxicology. Folude press Ibadan, 1st Edition, Pp 30-34.
4. Athar, M and Vohora. S.B. (1995): Heavy metals and environment. In: Man and environment series (Ed: P.K Ray). Wiley Eastem Ltd. New Delhi.; 1-195.
5. Britannica. (2011). Well water, <http://www.britannicaonline.com/well/well-water/well.htm>
6. Duruibe, J.O., Ogwuegbu, M.O. and Egwurugwu, J.N.(2007). Heavy metals pollution and Human Biotoxic Effects. *International Journal of physical sciences*; 2(5):112-118.
7. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA (2002): Nickel and Nickel Compound. Pollution Prevention Fact Sheet, 96, 1-2, K Prazak, K.S., F. W. (Jr.) Sunderman and S. Salnikow: Nickel carcinogenesis. *Mutat Res.*, 2003; 533: 2-26.
8. Itodo, F.A., Ambrusa, M.M., Faruk, A. Uba, A.U., Atiku and Dan Shehu, B. G. (2010). Waste water analysis, level of toxic metals and different discharge points within Nigeria refinery and petrochemical industry. Proceedings of the 33rd annual international conference, workshop and exhibition of the chemical society of Nigeria, September 20-24, 2010, Abeokuta, Nigeria.
9. Jatau, B. S., Bajeh, I. and Innocent, S. (2008). Trace Metals in Surface and Subsurface Water in Kaduna South Industrial Area North-Central Nigeria. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences* 3(1):81-87, 2008. ISSN:1815-932X.
10. Nigerian Standard for Drinking Water Quality, NSDWQ (2007). Nigerian guidelines for drinking water, National conference on water.
11. Ninura, W. (2008). Iron as essential Trace element; elemental study in Madagasca; 52:522-526.
12. Ogbonna O. Jimoh W. Awagu E. Bamishaiye I. (2011). Determination of some trace elements in water samples with in kano metropolis. *Advances in Applied science research*, 2011,2(2):62-68. Pelagia research library.s
13. Oluduro, M.A. and Anyakora, C.A. (2007). Heavy metals contamination of Ground water: The Surulere case study. *Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences*, 2(i):39-43.
14. Radojovic, M. and Bachkin, N.V. (1992). Practical Environmental Analysis. Royal society of chemistry. Thomas Graham House; Cambridge UK. Pp 180-430.
15. Scheiber, I. and Julian, F.B. (2013). Chapter 11. Copper: Effects of Deficiency and overload.
16. Underwood, G. and Pollack, M. (2009). Water science Journal of Environmental Chemistry. University of Washington. (1)3:55-67.
17. United State Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), (2005). Groundwater Current Standards. National Primary Drinking Water Standards, Pp:1-12.
18. Wan Ngah, W.S. and Hanafiah, M.A. (2007). Removal of heavy metals ions from waste water by chemically modified plant wastes as absorbents. *Bioresons. Technol*; 99(10):3935-3948.
19. West, L. and Carry, U. (2006). World water day. A billion people worldwide lack, save drinking water. *Journal of water science*. Pp70-72.
20. World Health Organisation, (WHO). (2006). Guidelines for drinking water quality volume. Recommendations, Geneva, Pp:130.
21. World Health Organisation, WHO. (2008). Guidelines for drinking water quality. 3rd Ed. Incorporating the first and second agenda volume recommendation. Geneva.
22. Yasar, U., Ozyigit, L. and Serin, M. (2010). Judas tree as a possible biomarker for Cr, Fe and Ni in Istanbul (Turkey). *Journal of Romanian Sciences and Biotechnology*. 15(1):4979-4989.