

sg-separation axioms

Balasubramanian S¹, Sandhya C²

1. Department of Mathematics, Govt. Arts College (A), Karur – 639 005, Tamilnadu, India, E-mail: mani55682@rediffmail.com

2. Department of Mathematics, C.S.R. Sarma College, Ongole – 523 001, Andhrapradesh, India, E-mail: sandhya_karavadi@yahoo.co.uk

Received 17 September; accepted 03 October; published online 01 November; printed 16 November 2012

ABSTRACT

In this paper we discuss new separation axioms using sg-open sets.

Mathematics Subject Classification Number: 54D10, 54D15.

Keywords- sg, spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Norman Levine introduced generalized closed sets in 1970. After him various Authors^[1-18; 20-29] studied different versions of generalized sets and related topological properties. Recently V.K. Sharma studied separation axioms for g-open. Following V.K. Sharma we are going to study further properties of sg-separation axioms. Throughout the paper a space X means a topological space (X, τ) . For any subset A of X its complement, interior, closure, sg-interior, sg-closure are denoted respectively by the symbols A^c , A° , $cl(A)$, $sg-int(A)$ and $sg-cl(A)$.

1.1. Definition 1.1

$A \subset X$ is called

(i) g-closed [resp: sg-closed] if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ [resp: $scl(A) \subseteq U$] whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open [resp: semi-open] in X .

(ii) g-open [resp: sg-open] if its complement is (i) g-closed [resp: sg-closed].

Note 1: The class of regular open sets, open sets, g-open sets and sg-open sets are denoted by $RO(X)$, $\tau(X)$, $GO(X)$ and $SGO(X)$ respectively. Clearly $RO(X) \subset \tau(X) \subset GO(X) \subset SGO(X)$.

Note 2: $A \in SGO(X, x)$ means A is a semipro generalized-open neighborhood of X containing x .

1.2. Definition 1.2

$A \subset X$ is called clopen [resp: nearly-clopen; semi-clopen; g-clopen; sg-clopen] if it is both open [resp: regular-open; semi-open; g-open; sg-open] and closed [resp: regular-closed; semi-closed; g-closed; sg-closed]

1.3. Definition 1.3

A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be

(i) Continuous [resp: nearly continuous, semi-continuous] if inverse image of open set is open [resp: regular-open, semi-open]

(ii) g-continuous [resp: sg-continuous] if inverse image of closed set is g-closed [resp: sg-closed]

(iii) irresolute [resp: nearly irresolute, sg-irresolute] if inverse image of semi-open [resp: regular-open, sg-open] set is semi-open [resp: regular-open, sg-open]

(iv) g-irresolute [resp: sg-irresolute; sg-irresolute] if inverse image of g-closed [resp: sg-closed, sg-closed] set is g-closed [resp: sg-closed; sg-closed]

(v) open [resp: nearly open, semi-open] if the image of open set is open [resp: regular-open, semi-open]

(vi) g-open [resp: sg-open] if the image of open set is g-open [resp: sg-open]

(vii) homeomorphism [resp: nearly homeomorphism, semi-homeomorphism] if f is bijective, continuous [resp: nearly-continuous, semi-continuous] and f^{-1} is continuous [resp: nearly-continuous, semi-continuous]

(viii) rc-homeomorphism [resp: sc-homeomorphism] if f is bijective r-irresolute [resp: irresolute] and f^{-1} is r-irresolute [resp: irresolute]

(ix) g-homeomorphism [resp: sg-homeomorphism] if f is bijective g-continuous [resp: sg-continuous] and f^{-1} is g-continuous [resp: sg-continuous]

(x) gc-homeomorphism [resp: sgc-homeomorphism] if f is bijective g-irresolute [resp: sg-irresolute] and f^{-1} is g-irresolute [resp: sg-irresolute]

1.4. Definition 1.4

X is said to be

(i) compact [nearly compact, semi-compact, g-compact, sg-compact] if every open [regular-open, semi-open, g-open, sg-open] cover has a finite sub cover.

(ii) T_0 [rT_0 , sT_0 , g_0] space if for each $x \neq y \in X \exists U \in \tau(X)$ [$RO(X)$; $SO(X)$; $GO(X)$] containing either x or y .

(iii) T_1 [rT_1 , sT_1 , g_1] space if for each $x \neq y \in X \exists U, V \in \tau(X)$ [$RO(X)$; $SO(X)$; $GO(X)$] such that $x \in U - V$ and $y \in V - U$.

(iv) T_2 [rT_2 , sT_2 , g_2] space if for each $x \neq y \in X \exists U, V \in \tau(X)$ [$RO(X)$; $SO(X)$; $GO(X)$] such that $x \in U$; $y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \phi$.

(v) $T_{1/2}$ [$rT_{1/2}$, $pT_{1/2}$] if every g-closed [rg-closed, pg-closed] set is closed [r-closed, pre-closed]

2. SG-CONTINUITY AND PRODUCT SPACES

2.1. Theorem 2.1

Let Y and $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in I\}$ be Topological Spaces. Let $f: Y \rightarrow \prod X_\alpha$ be a function. If f is sg-continuous, then $\pi_\alpha \circ f: Y \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is sg-continuous.

Proof: Suppose f is sg-continuous and $\pi_\alpha: \prod X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is continuous for each $\alpha \in I$, $\pi_\alpha \circ f$ is sg-continuous.

Converse of the above theorem is not true in general.

Example 2.1: Let $X = \{p, q, r, s\}$; $\tau_X = \{\emptyset, \{q\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, r\}, \{p, q, r\}, X\}$, $Y_1 = Y_2 = \{a, b\}$; $\tau_{Y_1} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, Y_1\}$; $\tau_{Y_2} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, Y_2\}$; $Y = Y_1 \times Y_2 = \{(a, a), (a, b), (b, a), (b, b)\}$ and $\tau_Y = \{\emptyset, \{(a, a)\}, \{(a, a), (a, b)\}, \{(a, a), (b, a)\}, \{(a, a), (a, b), (b, a)\}, Y_1 \times Y_2\}$. Define f by $f(p) = (a, a)$, $f(q) = (b, b)$, $f(r) = (a, b)$, $f(s) = (b, a)$. It is easy to see that $\pi_1 \circ f$ and $\pi_2 \circ f$ are sg-continuous. However $\{(b, b)\}$ is closed in Y but $f^{-1}(\{(b, b)\}) = \{q\}$ is not sg-closed in X . Therefore f is not sg-continuous.

2.2. Theorem 2.2

If Y is $sT_{1/2}$ and $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in I\}$ be Topological Spaces. Let $f: Y \rightarrow \prod X_\alpha$ be a function, then f is sg-continuous iff $\pi_\alpha \circ f: Y \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is sg-continuous.

2.3. Corollary 2.3

Let $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ be a function and let $f: \prod X_\alpha \rightarrow \prod Y_\alpha$ be defined by $f(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} = (f_\alpha(x_\alpha))_{\alpha \in I}$. If f is sg-continuous then each f_α is sg-continuous.

2.4. Corollary 2.4

For each α , let X_α be $sT_{1/2}$ and let $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ be a function and let $f: \prod X_\alpha \rightarrow \prod Y_\alpha$ be defined by $f(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} = (f_\alpha(x_\alpha))_{\alpha \in I}$, then f is sg-continuous iff each f_α is sg-continuous.

3. SG_i SPACES $i = 0, 1, 2$

3.1. Definition 3.1

X is said to be

- (i) a sg_0 space if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X , there exists a sg-open set G containing either of the point x or y .
- (ii) a sg_1 space if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X there exists a sg-open set G containing x but not y and a sg-open set H containing y but not x .
- (iii) a sg_2 space if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X there exists disjoint sg-open sets G and H such that G containing x but not y and H containing y but not x .

Note 2: X is $sg_2 \rightarrow X$ is $sg_1 \rightarrow X$ is sg_0 .

Example 3.1: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a, c\}, X\}$ then X is sg_0 but not rT_0 and $T_0, i = 0, 1, 2$.

(ii) $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ then X is not sg_i for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Example 3.2: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and

(i) $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ then X is sg_i ; $i = 0, 1, 2$.

(ii) $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ then X is not sg_i for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Remark 3.1: If X is $sT_{1/2}$ then sT_i and sg_i are one and the same for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

3.2. Theorem 3.1

- (i) Every [resp: regular open] open subspace of sg_i space is sg_i for $i = 0, 1, 2$.
- (ii) ^[29]The product of sg_i spaces is again sg_i for $i = 0, 1, 2$.
- (iii) sg-continuous image of T_i [resp: rT_i] spaces is sg_i for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

3.3. Theorem 3.2

- (i) X is sg_0 iff $\forall x \in X, \exists U \in SGO(X)$ containing x such that the subspace U is sg_0 .
- (ii) X is sg_0 iff distinct points of X have disjoint sg-closures.

3.4. Theorem 3.3

The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is sg_1 .
- (ii) Each one point set is sg-closed.
- (iii) Each subset of X is the intersection of all sg-open sets containing it.
- (iv) For any $x \in X$, the intersection of all sg-open sets containing the point is the set $\{x\}$.

3.5. Theorem 3.4

If X is sg_1 then distinct points of X have disjoint sg-closures.

3.6. Theorem 3.5

Suppose x is a sg-limit point of a subset of A of a sg_1 space X . Then every neighborhood of x contains infinitely many distinct points of A .

3.7. Theorem 3.6

X is sg_2 iff the intersection of all sg-closed, sg-neighborhoods of each point of the space is reduced to that point.

Proof: Let X be sg_2 and $x \in X$, for each $y \neq x$ in $X, \exists U, V \in SGO(X)$ such that $x \in U, y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Since $x \in U - V$, hence $X - V$ is a sg-closed, sg-neighborhood of x to which y does not belong. Consequently, the intersection of all sg-closed, sg-neighborhoods of x is reduced to $\{x\}$.

Conversely let $y \neq x$ in X , by hypothesis \exists a sg-closed, sg-neighborhood U of x such that $y \notin U$. Now $\exists G \in SGO(X)$ such that $x \in G \subset U$. Thus G and $X - U$ are disjoint sg-open sets containing x and y respectively. Hence X is sg_2 .

3.8. Theorem 3.7

If to each $x \in X$, there exist a sg-closed, sg-open subset of X containing x which is also a sg_2 subspace of X , then X is sg_2 .

Proof: Let $x \in X, U$ a sg-closed, sg-open subset of X containing x and which is also a sg_2 subspace of X , then the intersection of all sg-closed, sg-neighborhoods of x in U is reduced to $\{x\}$. U being sg-closed, sg-open, these are sg-closed, sg-neighborhoods of x in X . Thus the intersection of all sg-closed, sg-neighborhoods of x is reduced to $\{x\}$. Hence by Theorem 3.6, X is sg_2 .

3.9. Theorem 3.9

If X is sg_2 then the diagonal Δ in $X \times X$ is sg-closed.

Balasubramanian et al.
sg-separation axioms,
Indian Journal of Engineering, 2012, 1(1), 46-54,
<http://www.discovery.org.in/ije.htm>

Proof: Let $(x, y) \in X \times X - \Delta$, then $x \neq y$. Since X is $sg_2 \exists U; V \in SGO(X)$ s.t. $x \in U; y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$, implies $(U \times V) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ and therefore $(x, y) \in (U \times V) \subset X \times X - \Delta \in SGO(X \times X)$. Hence Δ is sg -closed.

3.10. Theorem 3.9

In sg_2 -space, sg -limits of sequences, if exists, are unique.

3.11. Theorem 3.10

In a sg_2 space, a point and disjoint sg -compact subspace can be separated by disjoint sg -open sets.

Proof: Let X be a sg_2 space, $x \in X$ and C a sg -compact subspace of X not containing x . Let $y \in C$ then for $x \neq y$ in X , \exists disjoint sg -open nbds G_x and H_y . Allowing this for each y in C , we obtain a class $\{H_y\}$ whose union covers C ; and since C is sg -compact, some finite subclass $\{H_i, i = 1$ to $n\}$ covers C . If G_i is sg -nbd of x corresponding to H_i , we put $G = \cup_{i=1-n} G_i$ and $H = \cap_{i=1-n} H_i$, satisfying the required properties.

3.12. Corollary 3.1

- (i) In a T_1 [resp: $rT_1; g_1$] space, each singleton set is sg -closed.
- (ii) If X is T_1 [resp: $rT_1; g_1$] then distinct points of X have disjoint sg -closures.
- (iii) If X is T_2 [resp: $rT_2; g_2$] then the diagonal Δ in $X \times X$ is sg -closed.
- (iv) Show that in a T_2 [resp: $rT_2; g_2$] space, a point and disjoint compact [resp: nearly-compact; g -compact] subspace can be separated by disjoint sg -open sets

3.13. Theorem 3.11

Every sg -compact subspace of a sg_2 space is sg -closed.

Proof: Let C be sg -compact subspace of a sg_2 space. If $x \in C^c$, by above Theorem x has a sg -nbd G s.t. $x \in G \subset C^c$. Thus C^c is the union of sg -open sets and therefore C^c is sg -open. Thus C is sg -closed.

3.14. Corollary 3.2

Every compact [resp: nearly-compact; g -compact] subspace of a T_2 [resp: $rT_2; g_2$] space is sg -closed.

3.15. Theorem 3.12

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is injective, sg -irresolute and Y is sg_i , $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof: Let $x \neq y \in X$, then \exists a sg -open set $V_x \subset Y$ such that $f(x) \in V_x$ and $f(y) \notin V_x$ and \exists a sg -open set $V_y \subset Y$ such that $f(y) \in V_y$ and $f(x) \notin V_y$ with $f(x) \neq f(y)$. By sg -irresoluteness of f , $f^{-1}(V_x)$ is sg -open in X such that $x \in f^{-1}(V_x); y \notin f^{-1}(V_x)$ and $f^{-1}(V_y)$ is sg -open in X such that $y \in f^{-1}(V_y); x \notin f^{-1}(V_y)$. Hence X is sg_2

Similarly one can prove the remaining part of the Theorem.

3.16. Corollary 3.3

- (i) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is injective, sg -continuous and Y is T_i then X is $sg_i, i = 0, 1, 2$.
- (ii) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is injective, r -irresolute [r -continuous] and Y is rT_i then X is $sg_i, i = 0, 1, 2$.
- (iii) The property of being a space is sg_0 is a sg -Topological property.
- (iv) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a sgc -homeomorphism, then X is sg_i if Y is $sg_i, i = 0, 1, 2$.

3.17. Theorem 3.13

Let X be T_1 and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be sg -closed surjection. Then X is sg_1 .

3.18. Theorem 3.14

Every sg -irresolute map from a sg -compact space into a sg_2 space is sg -closed.

Proof: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is sg -irresolute where X is sg -compact and Y is sg_2 . Let $C \subset X$ be closed, then $C \subset X$ is sg -closed and hence C is sg -compact and so $f(C)$ is sg -compact. But then $f(C)$ is sg -closed in Y . Hence the image of any sg -closed set in X is sg -closed set in Y . Thus f is sg -closed.

3.19. Theorem 3.15

Any sg -irresolute bijection from a sg -compact space onto a sg_2 space is a sgc -homeomorphism.

Proof: Let f be a sg -irresolute bijection from a sg -compact space onto a sg_2 space. Let $G \in SGO(X)$. Then $X - G \in SGC(X)$ and hence $f(X - G) \in SGC(Y)$. Since f is bijective $f(X - G) = Y - f(G)$ and therefore $f(G) \in SGO(Y)$. Hence f is M - sg -open. Thus f is sgc -homeomorphism.

3.20. Corollary 3.4

Any sg -continuous bijection from a sg -compact space onto a sg_2 space is a sg -homeomorphism.

3.21. Theorem 3.16

The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is sg_2 .
- (ii) For each pair $x \neq y \in X \exists$ a sg -open, sg -closed set V such that $x \in V$ and $y \notin V$, and
- (iii) For each pair $x \neq y \in X \exists f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and $f(y) = 1$ and f is sg -continuous.

3.22. Theorem 3.17

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is sg -irresolute and Y is sg_2 then

- (i) the set $A = \{(x_1, x_2): f(x_1) = f(x_2)\}$ is sg -closed in $X \times X$.
- (ii) $G(f)$, Graph of f , is sg -closed in $X \times Y$.

Proof: (i) Let $A = \{(x_1, x_2): f(x_1) = f(x_2)\}$. If $(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X - A$, then $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2) \Rightarrow \exists$ disjoint V_1 and $V_2 \in SGO(Y)$ such that $f(x_1) \in V_1$ and $f(x_2) \in V_2$, then by sg -irresoluteness of f , $f^{-1}(V_j) \in SGO(X, x_j)$ for each j . Thus $(x_1, x_2) \in f^{-1}(V_1) \times f^{-1}(V_2) \subset X \times X - A \in SGO(X \times X)$. Hence $A \in SGC(X \times X)$.

(ii) Let $(x, y) \notin G(f) \Rightarrow y \neq f(x) \Rightarrow \exists$ disjoint $V; W \in SGO(Y)$ such that $f(x) \in V$ and $y \in W$. Since f is sg -irresolute, $\exists U \in SGO(X)$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subset W$. Therefore we obtain $(x, y) \in U \times V \subset X \times Y - G(f)$. Hence $X \times Y - G(f) \in SGO(X \times Y)$. Hence $G(f) \in SGC(X \times Y)$.

3.23. Theorem 3.18

Balasubramanian et al.
 sg -separation axioms,
 Indian Journal of Engineering, 2012, 1(1), 46-54,
<http://www.discovery.org.in/ije.htm>

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is sg-open and $A = \{(x_1, x_2): f(x_1) = f(x_2)\}$ is closed in $X \times X$. Then Y is sg_2 .

3.24. Theorem 3.19

Let Y and $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in I\}$ be Topological Spaces. If $f: Y \rightarrow \prod X_\alpha$ be a sg-continuous function and Y is $rT_{1/2}$, then $\prod X_\alpha$ and each X_α are sg_i , $i = 0, 1, 2$.

3.25. Theorem 3.20

Let X be an arbitrary space, R an equivalence relation in X and $p: X \rightarrow X/R$ the identification map. If $R \subset X \times X$ is sg-closed in $X \times X$ and p is sg-open map, then X/R is sg_2 .

Proof: Let $p(x), p(y) \in X/R$. Since x and y are not related, $R \subset X \times X$ is sg-closed in $X \times X$. There are sg-open sets U and V such that $x \in U, y \in V$ and $U \times V \subset R^c$. Thus $\{p(U), p(V)\}$ are disjoint and also sg-open in X/R since p is sg-open.

3.26. Theorem 3.21

The following four properties are equivalent:

- X is sg_2
- Let $x \in X$. For each $y \neq x, \exists U \in SGO(X)$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin sgcl(U)$
- For each $x \in X, \cap \{sgcl(U) \mid U \in SGO(X) \text{ and } x \in U\} = \{x\}$.
- The diagonal $\Delta = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$ is sg-closed in $X \times X$.

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) Let $x \in X$ and $y \neq x$. Then there are disjoint sg-open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$. Clearly V^c is sg-closed, $sgcl(U) \subset V^c, y \notin V^c$ and therefore $y \notin sgcl(U)$.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) If $y \neq x$, then $\exists U \in SGO(X, x)$ and $y \notin sgcl(U)$. So $y \notin \cap \{sgcl(U) \mid U \in SGO(X) \text{ and } x \in U\}$.

(iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) We prove Δ^c is sg-open. Let $(x, y) \notin \Delta$. Then $y \neq x$ and $\cap \{sgcl(U) \mid U \in SGO(X) \text{ and } x \in U\} = \{x\}$ there is some $U \in SGO(X)$ with $x \in U$ and $y \notin sgcl(U)$. Since $U \cap (sgcl(U))^c = \emptyset, U \times (sgcl(U))^c$ is a sg-open set such that $(x, y) \in U \times (sgcl(U))^c \subset \Delta^c$.

(iv) \Leftrightarrow (i) $y \neq x$, then $(x, y) \notin \Delta$ and thus there exist sg-open sets U and V such that $(x, y) \in U \times V$ and $(U \times V) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$. Clearly, for the sg-open sets U and V we have; $x \in U, y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

4. SGG₃ AND SGG₄ SPACES

4.1. Definition 4.1

X is said to be

- a sg_3 space if for every sg-closed sets F and a point $x \notin F \exists$ disjoint $U, V \in SPO(X)$ such that $F \subset U; x \in V$
- a sgg_3 space if for every sg-closed sets F and $x \notin F \exists$ disjoint $U, V \in SGO(X)$ such that $F \subset U; x \in V$
- a sg_4 space if for each pair of disjoint $F; H \in SGC(X), \exists$ disjoint $U, V \in SPO(X)$ s.t. $F \subset U; H \subset V$
- a sgg_4 space if for each pair of disjoint $F; H \in SGC(X), \exists$ disjoint $U, V \in SGO(X)$ s.t. $F \subset U; H \subset V$

Note: $rT_i \rightarrow sg_i \rightarrow sgg_i, i = 3, 4$. but the converse is not true in general.

Example 4.1: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and

- $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ then X is sgg_i .
- $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$ then X is not sgg_i, sg_i and rT_i for $i = 3, 4$.

4.2. Lemma 4.1

X is sg-regular iff X is nearly-regular and $rT_{1/2}$.

Proof: X is sg-regular, then obviously X is nearly-regular. Let $A \subset X$ be sg-closed. For each $x \notin A \exists V_x \in SGO(X, x)$ such that $V_x \cap A = \emptyset$. If $V = \bigcup \{V_x: x \notin A\}$, then V is sg-open and $V = X - A$. Hence A is sg-closed implies X is $rT_{1/2}$.

4.3. Theorem 4.1

If X is sg_3 . Then for each $x \in X$ and each $U \in SGO(X, x) \exists$ a sg-neighborhood V of x such that $sgcl(A) \subset U$.

Proof: Let $x \in X$ and U a sg-neighborhood of x . Let $B = X - U$, then B is sg-closed and by sg-regularity of X, \exists disjoint $V, W \in SGO(X)$ such that $x \in V$ and $B \subset W$. Then $sgcl(V) \cap B = \emptyset \Rightarrow sgcl(V) \subset X - B$.

4.4. Theorem 4.2

The following are equivalent:

- X is sg_3 .
- For every point $x \in X$ and for every $G \in SGO(X, x), \exists U \in SGO(X)$ such that $x \in U \subset sgcl(U) \subset G$.
- For every sg-closed set F , the intersection of all sg-closed sg-neighborhoods of F is exactly F .
- For every set A and $B \in SGO(X)$ such that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset, \exists G \in SGO(X)$ such that $A \cap G \neq \emptyset$ and $sgcl(G) \subset B$.
- For every $A \neq \emptyset$ and $B \in SGC(X)$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset, \exists$ disjoint $G; H \in SGO(X)$ such that $A \subset G$ and $B \subset H$.

4.5. Theorem 4.3

If X is sgg_3 . Then for each $x \in X$ and each $U \in SGO(X, x), \exists V \in SGO(X, x)$ such that $sgcl(A) \subset U$.

Proof: Let $x \in X$ and U a sg-nbd of x . Let $B = X - U$, then B is sg-closed and by sgg -regularity of X, \exists disjoint $V, W \in SGO(X)$ such that $x \in V$ and $B \subset W$. Then $sgcl(V) \cap B = \emptyset \Rightarrow sgcl(V) \subset X - B$.

4.6. Corollary 4.1

If X is T_3 [resp: $rT_3; g_3$]. Then for each $x \in X$ and each sg-open neighborhood U of x there exists a sg-open neighborhood V of x such that $sgcl(A) \subset U$.

4.7. Theorem 4.4

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is sg-closed, sg-irresolute bijection. Then X is sgg_3 iff Y is sgg_3 .

Proof: Let F be closed set in X and $x \notin F$, then $f(x) \notin f(F)$ and $f(F)$ is sg-closed in Y . By sgg_3 of $Y, \exists V; W \in SGO(y)$ such that $f(x) \in V$ and $f(F) \subset W$. Hence $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ and $F \subset f^{-1}(W)$, where $f^{-1}(V)$ and $f^{-1}(W)$ are disjoint sg-open sets in X (by sg-irresoluteness of f). Hence X is sgg_3 .

Conversely, X be sgg_3 and K any sg-closed in Y with $y \notin K$, then $f^{-1}(K)$ is sg-closed in X such that $f^{-1}(y) \notin f^{-1}(K)$. By sgg_3 of X, \exists disjoint $V, W \in SGO(X)$ such that $f^{-1}(y) \in V$ and $f^{-1}(K) \subset W$. Hence $y \in f(V)$ and $K \subset f(W)$ such that $f(V)$ and $f(W)$ are disjoint sg-open sets in X . Thus Y is sgg_3 .

4.8. Theorem 4.5

X is sg-normal iff for every sg-closed set F and a sg-open set G containing A , there exists a sg-open set V such that $F \subset V \subset sgcl(V) \subset G$

4.9. Theorem 4.6

X is sg-normal iff for every pair of disjoint sg-closed sets A and B, there exist disjoint sg-open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$.

Proof: Necessity: Follows from the fact that every sg-open set is sg-open.

Sufficiency: Let A, B be disjoint sg-closed sets and U, V are disjoint sg-open sets such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$. Since U and V are sg-open sets, $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V \Rightarrow A \subseteq \text{sg}(U)^\circ$ and $B \subseteq \text{sg}(V)^\circ$. Hence $\text{sg}(U)^\circ$ and $\text{sg}(V)^\circ$ are disjoint sg-open sets satisfying the axiom of sg-normality.

4.10. Theorem 4.7

The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is sg-normal
- (ii) For any pair of disjoint closed sets A and B, \exists disjoint U; $V \in \text{SGO}(X)$ such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$
- (iii) For every closed set A and an open B containing A, $\exists U \in \text{SGO}(X)$ such that $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{sgcl}(U) \subseteq B$
- (iv) For every closed set A and a sg-open B containing A, $\exists U \in \text{SGO}(X)$ such that $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{sgcl}(U) \subseteq (B)^\circ$
- (v) For every $A \in \text{SGC}(X)$, and every $B \in \tau(X, A)$, $\exists U \in \text{SGO}(X)$ such that $A \subseteq \text{sgcl}(A) \subseteq U \subseteq \text{sgcl}(U) \subseteq B$.

4.11. Theorem 4.8

The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is sg-normal
- (ii) For every $A \in \text{SGC}(X)$ and every sg-open set containing A, \exists a sg-clopen set V such that $A \subseteq V \subseteq U$.

4.12. Theorem 4.9

Let X be an almost normal space and $F \cap A = \phi$ where F is regularly closed and A is sg-closed, then \exists disjoint U; $V \in \tau$ such that $F \subseteq U$; $B \subseteq V$.

4.13. Theorem 4.10

X is almost normal iff for every disjoint sets F and A where F is regular closed and A is closed, \exists disjoint sg-open sets in X such that $F \subseteq U$; $B \subseteq V$.

Proof: Necessity: Follows from the fact that every open set is sg-open.

Sufficiency: Let F, A be disjoint s.t. $F \in \text{RC}(X)$ and A is closed, \exists disjoint U; $V \in \text{SGO}(X)$ s.t. $F \subseteq U$; $B \subseteq V$. Hence $F \subseteq U^\circ$; $B \subseteq V^\circ$, where U° and V° are disjoint open sets. Hence X is almost regular.

5. SG- R_i SPACES; $i = 0, 1, \dots$

5.1. Definition 5.1

Let $x \in X$. Then

- (i) sg-kernel of x is defined and denoted by $\text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\} = \bigcap \{U: U \in \text{SGO}(X) \text{ and } x \in U\}$
- (ii) $\text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}F = \bigcap \{U: U \in \text{SGO}(X) \text{ and } F \subseteq U\}$

5.2. Lemma 5.1

Let $A \subseteq X$, then $\text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{A\} = \{x \in X: \text{sgcl}\{x\} \cap A \neq \phi.\}$

5.3. Lemma 5.2

Let $x \in X$. Then $y \in \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\}$ iff $x \in \text{sgcl}\{y\}$.

Proof: Suppose that $y \in \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\}$. Then $\exists V \in \text{SGO}(X)$ containing x such that $y \notin V$. Therefore we have $x \notin \text{sgcl}\{y\}$. The proof of converse part can be done similarly.

5.4. Lemma 5.3

For any points $x \neq y \in X$, the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\} \neq \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{y\}$;
- (ii) $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \neq \text{sgcl}\{y\}$.

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): Let $\text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\} \neq \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{y\}$, then $\exists z \in X$ such that $z \in \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\}$ and $z \notin \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{y\}$. From $z \in \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\}$ it follows that $\{x\} \cap \text{sgcl}\{z\} \neq \phi \Rightarrow x \in \text{sgcl}\{z\}$. By $z \notin \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{y\}$, we have $\{y\} \cap \text{sgcl}\{z\} = \phi$. Since $x \in \text{sgcl}\{z\}$, $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \subseteq \text{sgcl}\{z\}$ and $\{y\} \cap \text{sgcl}\{x\} = \phi$. Therefore $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \neq \text{sgcl}\{y\}$. Now $\text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\} \neq \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{y\} \Rightarrow \text{sgcl}\{x\} \neq \text{sgcl}\{y\}$.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (i): If $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \neq \text{sgcl}\{y\}$. Then $\exists z \in X$ such that $z \in \text{sgcl}\{x\}$ and $z \notin \text{sgcl}\{y\}$. Then \exists a sg-open set containing z and therefore containing x but not y, namely, $y \notin \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\}$. Hence $\text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\} \neq \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{y\}$.

5.5. Definition 5.2

X is said to be

- (i) sg- R_0 iff $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \subseteq G$ whenever $x \in G \in \text{SGO}(X)$.
- (ii) weakly sg- R_0 iff $\bigcap \text{sgcl}\{x\} = \phi$.
- (iii) sg- R_1 iff for $x, y \in X$ such that $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \neq \text{sgcl}\{y\} \exists$ disjoint U; $V \in \text{SGO}(X)$ such that $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \subseteq U$ and $\text{sgcl}\{y\} \subseteq V$.

Example 5.1: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and

- (i) $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ then X is sg R_0 and weakly sg R_0 .
- (ii) $\tau = \{\phi, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ then X is sg R_1 .

Remark 5.1:

- (i) $r-R_i \Rightarrow R_i \Rightarrow g R_i \Rightarrow \text{sg}R_i, i = 0, 1$.
- (ii) Every weakly- R_0 space is weakly sg R_0 .

5.6. Lemma 5.1

Every sg R_0 space is weakly sg R_0 .

Converse of the above Theorem is not true in general by the following Examples.

Example 5.2:

- (i) Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$, then X is weakly sg R_0 but not sg R_0 .
- (ii) Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\phi, \{a, b\}, X\}$, then X is sg R_0 and R_0 .

5.7. Theorem 5.1

Balasubramanian et al.
sg-separation axioms,
Indian Journal of Engineering, 2012, 1(1), 46-54,
<http://www.discovery.org.in/ije.htm>

Every sg-regular space X is sg_2 and $sg-R_0$.

Proof: Let X be sg-regular and let $x \neq y \in X$. By Lemma 4.1, $\{x\}$ is either sg-open or sg-closed. If $\{x\}$ is sg-open, $\{x\}$ is sg-open and hence sg-clopen. Thus $\{x\}$ and $X - \{x\}$ are separating sg-open sets. Similar argument, for $\{x\}$ is sg-closed gives $\{x\}$ and $X - \{x\}$ are separating sg-closed sets. Thus X is sg_2 and $sg-R_0$.

5.8. Theorem 5.2

X is $sg-R_0$ iff given $x \neq y \in X$; $sgcl\{x\} \neq sgcl\{y\}$.

Proof: Let X be $sg-R_0$ and let $x, y \in X$. Suppose U is a sg-open set containing x but not y , then $y \in sgcl\{y\} \subset X - U$ and so $x \notin sgcl\{y\}$. Hence $sgcl\{x\} \neq sgcl\{y\}$.

Conversely, let $x, y \in X$ such that $sgcl\{x\} \neq sgcl\{y\} \Rightarrow sgcl\{x\} \subset X - sgcl\{y\} = U$ (say) a sg-open set in X . This is true for every $sgcl\{x\}$. Thus $\bigcap sgcl\{x\} \subseteq U$ where $x \in sgcl\{x\} \subseteq U \in SGO(X)$, which in turn implies $\bigcap sgcl\{x\} \subseteq U$ where $x \in U \in SGO(X)$. Hence X is sgR_0 .

5.9. Theorem 5.3

X is weakly sgR_0 iff $Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\} \neq X$ for any $x \in X$.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ such that $Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x_0\} = X$. This means that x_0 is not contained in any proper sg-open subset of X . Thus $x_0 \in sgcl\{x\}$ of every singleton set. Hence $x_0 \in \bigcap sgcl\{x\}$, a contradiction.

Conversely assume $Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\} \neq X$ for any $x \in X$. If there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \in \bigcap sgcl\{x\}$, then every sg-open set containing x_0 must contain every point of X . Therefore, the unique sg-open set containing x_0 is X . Hence $Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x_0\} = X$, which is a contradiction. Thus X is weakly $sg-R_0$.

5.10. Theorem 5.4

The following statements are equivalent:

- X is $sg-R_0$ space.
- For each $x \in X$, $sgcl\{x\} \subset Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\}$
- For any sg-closed set F and a point $x \notin F$, $\exists U \in SGO(X)$ such that $x \notin U$ and $F \subset U$.
- Each sg-closed set F can be expressed as $F = \bigcap \{G: G \text{ is sg-open and } F \subset G\}$.
- Each sg-open set G can be expressed as $G = \bigcup \{A: A \text{ is sg-closed and } A \subset G\}$.
- For each sg-closed set F , $x \notin F$ implies $sg-cl\{x\} \cap F = \phi$.

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) For any $x \in X$, we have $Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\} = \bigcap \{U: U \in SGO(X) \text{ and } x \in U\}$. Since X is $sg-R_0$, each sg-open set containing x contains $sgcl\{x\}$. Hence $sgcl\{x\} \subset Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\}$.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) Let $x \notin F \in SGC(X)$. Then for any $y \in F$; $sgcl\{y\} \subset F$ and so $x \notin sgcl\{y\} \Rightarrow y \notin sgcl\{x\}$ that is $\exists U_y \in SGO(X)$ such that $y \in U_y$ and $x \notin U_y \forall y \in F$. Let $U = \bigcup \{U_y: U_y \in SGO(X, y) \text{ and } x \notin U_y\}$. Then $U \in SGO(X)$ such that $x \notin U$ and $F \subset U$.

(iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) Let $F \in SGO(X)$ and $N = \bigcap \{G: G \text{ is sg-open and } F \subset G\}$. Then $F \subset N \rightarrow (1)$.

Let $x \notin F$, then by (iii) $\exists G \in SGO(X)$ such that $x \notin G$ and $F \subset G$.

Hence $x \notin N$ which implies $x \in N \Rightarrow x \in F$. Hence $N \subset F \rightarrow (2)$.

Therefore from (1) and (2), each sg-closed set $F = \bigcap \{G: G \text{ is sg-open and } F \subset G\}$

(iv) \Leftrightarrow (v) obvious.

(v) \Leftrightarrow (vi) Let $x \notin F \in SGC(X)$. Then $X - F = G \in SGO(X, x)$. Then by (v), $G = \bigcup \{A: A \text{ is sg-closed and } A \subset G\}$, and so $\exists M \in SGC(X)$ such that $x \in M \subset G$; and hence $sgcl\{x\} \subset G$ which implies $sgcl\{x\} \cap F = \phi$.

(vi) \Leftrightarrow (i) Let $x \in G \in SGO(X)$. Then $x \notin (X - G)$, which is a sg-closed set. Therefore by (vi) $sgcl\{x\} \cap (X - G) = \phi$, which implies that $sgcl\{x\} \subseteq G$. Thus X is sgR_0 space.

5.11. Theorem 5.5

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a sg-closed one-one function. If X is weakly $sg-R_0$, then so is Y .

5.12. Theorem 5.6

If X is weakly $sg-R_0$, then for every space Y , $X \times Y$ is weakly $sg-R_0$.

Proof: $\bigcap sgcl\{(x, y)\} \subseteq \bigcap \{sgcl\{x\} \times sgcl\{y\}\} = \bigcap \{sgcl\{x\}\} \times \bigcap \{sgcl\{y\}\} \subseteq \phi \times Y = \phi$. Hence $X \times Y$ is sgR_0 .

5.13. Corollary 5.1

- If X and Y are weakly sgR_0 , then $X \times Y$ is weakly sgR_0 .
- If X and Y are sgR_0 , then $X \times Y$ is weakly sgR_0 .
- If X is sgR_0 and Y are weakly R_0 , then $X \times Y$ is weakly sgR_0 .

5.14. Theorem 5.7

X is sgR_0 iff for any $x, y \in X$, $sgcl\{x\} \neq sgcl\{y\} \Rightarrow sgcl\{x\} \cap sgcl\{y\} = \phi$.

Proof: Let X is sgR_0 and $x, y \in X$ such that $sgcl\{x\} \neq sgcl\{y\}$. Then $\exists z \in sgcl\{x\}$ such that $z \notin sgcl\{y\}$ (or $z \in sgcl\{y\}$) such that $z \notin sgcl\{x\}$. There exists $V \in SGO(X)$ such that $y \notin V$ and $z \in V$; hence $x \in V$. Therefore, $x \notin sgcl\{y\}$. Thus $x \in [sgcl\{y\}]^c \in SGO(X)$, which implies $sgcl\{x\} \subset [sgcl\{y\}]^c$ and $sgcl\{x\} \cap sgcl\{y\} = \phi$. The proof for otherwise is similar.

Sufficiency: Let $x \in V \in SGO(X)$. Let $y \notin V$, i.e., $y \in V^c$. Then $x \neq y$ and $x \notin sgcl\{y\}$. Hence $sgcl\{x\} \neq sgcl\{y\}$. But $sgcl\{x\} \cap sgcl\{y\} = \phi$. Hence $y \notin sgcl\{x\}$. Therefore $sgcl\{x\} \subset V$.

5.15. Theorem 5.8

X is sgR_0 iff for any $x, y \in X$, $Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\} \neq Ker_{\{sg\}}\{y\} \Rightarrow Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\} \cap Ker_{\{sg\}}\{y\} = \phi$.

Proof: If X is sgR_0 , by Lemma 5.3 for any $x, y \in X$ if $Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\} \neq Ker_{\{sg\}}\{y\}$ then $sgcl\{x\} \neq sgcl\{y\}$. Assume that $z \in Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\} \cap Ker_{\{sg\}}\{y\}$. By $z \in Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\}$ and Lemma 5.2, it follows that $x \in sgcl\{z\}$. Since $x \in sgcl\{z\}$, $sgcl\{x\} = sgcl\{z\}$. Similarly, we have $sgcl\{y\} = sgcl\{z\} = sgcl\{x\}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, we have $Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\} \cap Ker_{\{sg\}}\{y\} = \phi$.

Conversely, let $x, y \in X$, s.t. $sgcl\{x\} \neq sgcl\{y\}$, then by Lemma 5.3, $Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\} \neq Ker_{\{sg\}}\{y\}$. By hypothesis $Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\} \cap Ker_{\{sg\}}\{y\} = \phi$ which implies $sgcl\{x\} \cap sgcl\{y\} = \phi$. But $z \in sgcl\{x\}$ implies that $x \in Ker_{\{sg\}}\{z\}$ and hence $Ker_{\{sg\}}\{x\} \cap Ker_{\{sg\}}\{z\} \neq \phi$. Therefore by Theorem 5.7 X is a sgR_0 space.

5.16. Theorem 5.9

The following properties are equivalent:

- X is a $sg-R_0$ space.
- For any $A \neq \phi$ and $G \in SGO(X)$ such that $A \cap G \neq \phi \exists F \in SGC(X)$ such that $A \cap F = \phi$ and $F \subset G$.

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): Let $A \neq \phi$ and $G \in \text{SGO}(X)$ such that $A \cap G \neq \phi$. There exists $x \in A \cap G$. Since $x \in G \in \text{SGO}(X)$, $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \subset G$. Set $F = \text{sgcl}\{x\}$, then $F \in \text{SGC}(X)$, $F \subset G$ and $A \cap F \neq \phi$

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (i): Let $G \in \text{SGO}(X)$ and $x \in G$. By (2), $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \subset G$. Hence X is sg-R_0 .

5.17. Theorem 5.10

The following properties are equivalent:

- (i) X is a sg-R_0 space;
- (ii) $x \in \text{sgcl}\{y\}$ iff $y \in \text{sgcl}\{x\}$, for any points x and y in X .

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): Assume X is sgR_0 . Let $x \in \text{sgcl}\{y\}$ and D be any sg -open set such that $y \in D$. Now by hypothesis, $x \in D$. Therefore, every sg -open set which contain y contains x . Hence $y \in \text{sgcl}\{x\}$.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (i): Let U be a sg -open set and $x \in U$. If $y \notin U$, then $x \notin \text{sgcl}\{y\}$ and hence $y \notin \text{sgcl}\{x\}$. This implies that $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \subset U$. Hence X is sgR_0 .

5.18. Theorem 5.11

The following properties are equivalent:

- (i) X is a sgR_0 space;
- (ii) If F is sg -closed, then $F = \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}(F)$;
- (iii) If F is sg -closed and $x \in F$, then $\text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\} \subseteq F$;
- (iv) If $x \in X$, then $\text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\} \subset \text{sgcl}\{x\}$.

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): Let $x \notin F \in \text{SGC}(X) \Rightarrow (X-F) \in \text{SGO}(X, x)$. For X is sgR_0 , $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \subset (X-F)$. Thus $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \cap F = \phi$ and $x \notin \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}(F)$. Hence $\text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}(F) = F$.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii): $A \subset B \Rightarrow \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}(A) \subset \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}(B)$. Therefore, by (2) $\text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\} \subset \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}(F) = F$.

(iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv): Since $x \in \text{sgcl}\{x\}$ and $\text{sgcl}\{x\}$ is sg -closed, by (3) $\text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\} \subset \text{sgcl}\{x\}$.

(iv) \Leftrightarrow (i): Let $x \in \text{sgcl}\{y\}$. Then by Lemma 5.2 $y \in \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\}$. Since $x \in \text{sgcl}\{x\}$ and $\text{sgcl}\{x\}$ is sg -closed, by (iv) we obtain $y \in \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\} \subseteq \text{sgcl}\{x\}$. Therefore $x \in \text{sgcl}\{y\}$ implies $y \in \text{sgcl}\{x\}$. The converse is obvious and X is sgR_0 .

5.19. Corollary 5.2

The following properties are equivalent:

- (i) X is sgR_0 .
- (ii) $\text{sgcl}\{x\} = \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\} \forall x \in X$.

Proof: Straight forward from Theorems 5.4 and 5.11.

Recall that a filterbase F is called sg -convergent to a point x in X , if for any sg -open set U of X containing x , there exists $B \in F$ such that $B \subset U$.

5.20. Lemma 5.4

Let x and y be any two points in X such that every net in X sg -converging to y sg -converges to x . Then $x \in \text{sgcl}\{y\}$.

Proof: Suppose that $x_n = y$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a net in $\text{sgcl}\{y\}$. Since $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ sg -converges to y , then $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ sg -converges to x and this implies that $x \in \text{sgcl}\{y\}$.

5.21. Theorem 5.12

The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) X is a sgR_0 space;
- (ii) If $x, y \in X$, then $y \in \text{sgcl}\{x\}$ iff every net in X sg -converging to y sg -converges to x .

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): Let $x, y \in X$ such that $y \in \text{sgcl}\{x\}$. Suppose that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ is a net in X such that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ sg -converges to y . Since $y \in \text{sgcl}\{x\}$, by Thm. 5.7 we have $\text{sgcl}\{x\} = \text{sgcl}\{y\}$. Therefore $x \in \text{sgcl}\{y\}$. This means that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ sg -converges to x .

Conversely, let $x, y \in X$ such that every net in X sg -converging to y sg -converges to x . Then $x \in \text{sg-cl}\{y\}$ [by 5.4]. By Thm. 5.7, we have $\text{sgcl}\{x\} = \text{sgcl}\{y\}$. Therefore $y \in \text{sgcl}\{x\}$.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (i): Let $x, y \in X$ such that $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \cap \text{sgcl}\{y\} \neq \phi$. Let $z \in \text{sgcl}\{x\} \cap \text{sgcl}\{y\}$. So \exists a net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ in $\text{sgcl}\{x\}$ such that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ sg -converges to z . Since $z \in \text{sgcl}\{y\}$, then $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ sg -converges to y . It follows that $y \in \text{sgcl}\{x\}$. Similarly we obtain $x \in \text{sgcl}\{y\}$. Thus $\text{sgcl}\{x\} = \text{sgcl}\{y\}$. Hence X is sgR_0 .

5.22. Theorem 5.13

- (i) Every subspace of sgR_1 space is again sgR_1 .
- (ii) Product of any two sgR_1 spaces is again sgR_1 .
- (iii) X is sgR_1 iff given $x \neq y \in X$, $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \neq \text{sgcl}\{y\}$.
- (iv) Every sg_2 space is sgR_1 .
- (v) If X is sg-R_1 , then X is sg-R_0 .
- (vi) X is sg-R_1 iff for $x, y \in X$, $\text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{x\} \neq \text{Ker}_{\{\text{sg}\}}\{y\}$, \exists disjoint $U, V \in \text{SGO}(X)$ such that $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \subset U$ and $\text{sgcl}\{y\} \subset V$.

The converse of (iv) is not true. However, we have the following result.

5.23. Theorem 5.14

Every sg_1 and sgR_1 space is sg_2 .

Proof: Let $x \neq y \in X$. Since X is sg_1 , $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ are sg -closed sets such that $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \neq \text{sgcl}\{y\}$. Since X is sgR_1 , there exists disjoint sg -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$; $y \in V$. Hence X is sg_2 .

5.24. Corollary 5.3

X is sg_2 iff it is sgR_1 and sg_1 .

Theorem 5.15: The following are equivalent

- (i) X is sg-R_1 .
- (ii) $\bigcap \text{sgcl}\{x\} = \{x\}$.
- (iii) For any $x \in X$, $\bigcap \text{sg}[nbds\{x\}] = \{x\}$.

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) Let $y \neq x \in X$ such that $y \in \text{sgcl}\{x\}$. Since X is sgR_1 , $\exists U \in \text{SGO}(X)$ such that $y \in U$, $x \notin U$ or $x \in U$, $y \notin U$. In either case $y \notin \text{sgcl}\{x\}$. Hence $\bigcap \text{sgcl}\{x\} = \{x\}$.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) If $y \neq x \in X$, then $x \notin \text{sgcl}\{y\}$, so there is a sg -open set containing x but not y . Therefore $y \notin \bigcap \text{sg}[nbds\{x\}]$. Hence $\bigcap \text{sg}[nbds\{x\}] = \{x\}$.

(iii) \Leftrightarrow (i) Let $x \neq y \in X$. by hypothesis, $y \notin \bigcap \text{sg}[nbds\{x\}]$ and $x \notin \bigcap \text{sg}[nbds\{y\}]$, which implies $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \neq \text{sgcl}\{y\}$. Hence X is sg-R_1 .

5.25. Theorem 5.16

The following are equivalent:

Balasubramanian et al.
 sg-separation axioms,
 Indian Journal of Engineering, 2012, 1(1), 46-54,
<http://www.discovery.org.in/ije.htm>

(i) X is $sg-R_1$.

(ii) For each pair $x, y \in X$ with $sgcl\{x\} \neq sgcl\{y\}$, \exists a sg -open, sg -closed set V s.t. $x \in V$ and $y \notin V$, and

(iii) For each $x \neq y \in X$ with $sgcl\{x\} \neq sgcl\{y\}$, \exists a sg -continuous function $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ s.t. $f(x) = 0$ and $f(y) = 1$.

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) Let $x, y \in X$ with $sgcl\{x\} \neq sgcl\{y\}$, \exists disjoint $U, W \in SGO(X)$ such that $sgcl\{x\} \subset U$ and $sgcl\{y\} \subset W$ and $V = sgcl(U)$ is sg -open and sg -closed such that $x \in V$ and $y \notin V$.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) Let $x, y \in X$ with $sgcl\{x\} \neq sgcl\{y\}$, and let V be sg -open and sg -closed such that $x \in V$ and $y \notin V$. Then $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by $f(z) = 0$ if $z \in V$ and $f(z) = 1$ if $z \notin V$ satisfied the desired properties.

(iii) \Leftrightarrow (i) Let $x, y \in X$ such that $sgcl\{x\} \neq sgcl\{y\}$, let $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that f is sg -continuous, $f(x) = 0$ and $f(y) = 1$. Then $U = f^{-1}([0, 1/2])$ and $V = f^{-1}((1/2, 1])$ are disjoint sg -open and sg -closed sets in X , such that $sgcl\{x\} \subset U$ and $sgcl\{y\} \subset V$.

6. SG-C_i AND SG-D_i SPACES, $i = 0, 1, 2$:

6.1. Definition 6.1

X is said to be a

(i) $sg-C_0$ space if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X there exists a sg -open set G whose closure contains either of the point x or y .

(ii) $sg-C_1$ space if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X there exists a sg -open set G whose closure containing x but not y and a sg -open set H whose closure containing y but not x .

(iii) $sg-C_2$ space if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X there exists disjoint sg -open sets G and H such that G containing x but not y and H containing y but not x .

Note: $sg-C_2 \Rightarrow sg-C_1 \Rightarrow sg-C_0$. Converse need not be true in general as shown by.

Example 6.1: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and

(i) $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ then X is $sg-C_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

(ii) $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ then X is not $sg-C_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$.

6.2. Theorem 6.1

(i) Every subspace of $sg-C_i$ space is $sg-C_i$.

(ii) Every sg_i spaces is $sg-C_i$.

(iii) Product of $sg-C_i$ spaces are $sg-C_i$.

6.3. Theorem 6.2

Let (X, τ) be any $sg-C_i$ space and $A \neq \phi \subseteq X$ then A is $sg-C_i$ iff $(A, \tau|_A)$ is $sg-C_i$.

6.4. Theorem 6.3

(i) If X is $sg-C_1$ then each singleton set is sg -closed.

(ii) In an $sg-C_1$ space disjoint points of X has disjoint sg - closures.

6.5. Definition 6.2

$A \subset X$ is called a sg -Difference (Shortly sgD -set) set if there are two $U, V \in SGO(X)$ such that $U \neq X$ and $A = U - V$.

Clearly every sg -open set U different from X is a sgD -set if $A = U$ and $V = \phi$.

6.6. Definition 6.3

X is said to be a

(i) $sg-D_0$ if for any pair of distinct points x and y of X there exist a sgD -set in X containing x but not y or a sgD set in X containing y but not x .

(ii) $sg-D_1$ if for any pair of distinct points x and y in X there exist a sgD -set of X containing x but not y and a sgD -set in X containing y but not x .

(iii) $sg-D_2$ if for any pair of distinct points x and y of X there exists disjoint sgD -sets G and H in X containing x and y respectively.

Example 6.2: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ then X is sgD_i , $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Remark 6.2: (i) If X is $sg-C_i$, then it is sgD_i , $i = 0, 1, 2$ and converse is false.

(ii) If X is sg_i , then it is $sgD_{(i-1)}$, $i = 1, 2$.

(iii) If X is sg_i , then it is $sg-D_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$.

(iv) If X is $sg-D_i$, then it is $sg-D_{(i-1)}$, $i = 1, 2$.

6.7. Theorem 6.4

The following statements are true:

(i) X is $sg-D_0$ iff it is sg_0 .

(ii) X is $sg-D_1$ iff it is $sg-D_2$.

Corollary 6.1: If X is $sg-D_1$, then it is sg_0 .

Proof: Remark 6.1(iv) and Theorem 6.2(i)

6.8. Definition 6.4

A point $x \in X$ which has X as the unique sg -neighborhood is called $sg.c.c$ point.

6.9. Theorem 6.5

For an sg_0 space X the following are equivalent:

(i) X is $sg-D_1$; (ii) X has no $sg.c.c$ point.

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) Since X is $sg-D_1$, then each point x of X is contained in a sgD -set $O = U - V$ and thus in U . By Definition $U \neq X$. This implies that x is not a $sg.c.c$ point.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (i) If X is sg_0 , for each $x \neq y \in X$, at least one of them, x (say) has a sg -nbd U containing x but not y . Thus $U \neq X$ is a sgD -set. If X has no $sg.c.c$ point, y is not a $sg.c.c$ point, so there exists a sg -nbd V of y such that $V \neq X$. Thus $y \in (V - U)$ but not x and $V - U$ is a sgD -set. Thus X is $sg-D_1$.

6.10. Corollary 6.2

A sg_0 space X is $sg-D_1$ iff there is a unique $sg.c.c$ point in X .

Proof: Only uniqueness is sufficient to prove. If x_0 and y_0 are two $sg.c.c$ points in X then since X is sg_0 , at least one of x_0 and y_0 say x_0 , has a sg -neighborhood U such that $x_0 \in U$ and $y_0 \notin U$, hence $U \neq X$, x_0 is not a $sg.c.c$ point, a contradiction.

Balasubramanian et al.

sg -separation axioms,

Indian Journal of Engineering, 2012, 1(1), 46-54,

<http://www.discovery.org.in/ije.htm>

6.11. Definition 6.5

X is sg-symmetric if for x and y in X , $x \in \text{sgcl}\{y\}$ implies $y \in \text{sgcl}\{x\}$.

6.12. Theorem 6.6

X is sg-symmetric iff $\{x\}$ is sgg-closed for each $x \in X$.

Proof: Assume that $x \in \text{sgcl}\{y\}$ but $y \notin \text{sgcl}\{x\}$. This means that $[\text{sgcl}\{x\}]^c$ contains y . This implies that $\text{sgcl}\{y\} \subset [\text{sgcl}\{x\}]^c$. Now $[\text{sgcl}\{x\}]^c$ contains x which is a contradiction.

Conversely, If $\{x\} \subset E \in \text{SGO}(X)$ but $\text{sgcl}\{x\} \not\subset E$, then $\text{sgcl}\{x\}$ and E^c are not disjoint. Let y belongs to their intersection. Now we have $x \in \text{sgcl}\{y\} \subset E^c$ and $x \notin E$. But this is a contradiction.

6.13. Corollary 6.3

If X is a sg_1 , then it is sg-symmetric.

Proof: Follows from theorem 2.2(ii), Remark 6.2 and theorem 6.6.

6.14. Corollary 6.4

The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is sg-symmetric and sg_0
- (ii) X is sg_1 .

Proof: By Corollary 6.3 and Remark 6.1 it suffices to prove only (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $x \neq y$ and by sg_0 , we may assume that $x \in G_1 \subset \{y\}^c$ for some $G_1 \in \text{SGO}(X)$. Then $x \notin \text{sgcl}\{y\}$ and hence $y \notin \text{sgcl}\{x\}$. There exists a $G_2 \in \text{SGO}(X)$ such that $y \in G_2 \subset \{x\}^c$ and X is a sg_1 space.

6.15. Theorem 6.7

For a sg-symmetric space X the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is sg_0 ;
- (ii) X is sg-D_1 ;
- (iii) X is sg_1 .

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) Corollary 6.4 and (iii) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (i) Remark 6.1.

6.16. Theorem 6.8

(i) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a sg-irresolute surjective function and $E \in \text{SGD}(Y)$, $f^{-1}(E) \in \text{SGD}(X)$.

(ii) If Y is sg-D_1 and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is sg-irresolute and bijective, then X is sg-D_1 .

6.18. Theorem 6.9

X is sg-D_1 iff for each pair of distinct points x, y in X there exist a sg-irresolute surjective function $f: X \rightarrow Y$, where Y is a sg-D_1 space such that $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ are distinct.

Proof: Necessity. For every $x \neq y \in X$, it suffices to take the identity function on X .

Sufficiency. Let $x \neq y \in X$. By hypothesis, \exists a sg-irresolute, surjective function $f: X$ onto a sg-D_1 space Y s.t. $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Therefore, \exists disjoint $G_x, G_y \in \text{SGD}(Y)$ s.t. $f(x) \in G_x$ and $f(y) \in G_y$. Then by Theorem 6.8(i), $f^{-1}(G_x)$ and $f^{-1}(G_y) \in \text{SGD}(X)$ containing x and y respectively. Therefore X is sg-D_1 space.

6.19. Corollary 6.5

Let $\{X_\alpha / \alpha \in I\}$ be any family of topological spaces. If X_α is sg-D_1 for each $\alpha \in I$, so is $\prod X_\alpha$. **Proof:** Let $(x_\alpha) \neq (y_\alpha) \in \prod X_\alpha$. Then there exists an index $\beta \in I$ s.t. $x_\beta \neq y_\beta$. The natural projection $P_\beta: \prod X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$ is almost continuous and almost open and $P_\beta((x_\alpha)) = P_\beta((y_\alpha))$. Since X_β is sg-D_1 , $\prod X_\alpha$ is sg-D_1 .

REFERENCES

1. Ahmad Al.Omari and Mohd. Salmi Md Noorani, Regular generalized w-closed sets, I.J.M.M.S.Vol(2007).
2. S.P.Arya and T.Nour, Characterizations of s-normal spaces, I.J.P.A.M.,21(8)(1990),717-719.
3. S.N. Bairagya and S.P. Baisnab, On structure of Generalized open sets, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 79(1987)81-88.
4. K. Balachandran, P. Sundaram and H. Maki, On generalized continuous maps in Topological Spaces, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi. Univ(Math)12(1991)05-13.
5. Chawalit Boonpok-Generalized continuous functions from any topological space into product, Naresuan University journal(2003)11(2)93-98.
6. Chawalit Boonpok, Preservation Theorems concerning g-Hausdorff and rg-Hausdorff spaces, KKU. Sci.J.31(3)(2003)138-140.
7. R.Devi, K. Balachandran and H.Maki, semi-Generalized Homeomorphisms and Generalized semi-Homeomorphisinm Topological Spaces, IJPAM, 26(3)(1995)271-284.
8. W.Dunham, $T_{1/2}$, Spaces, Kyungpook Math. J.17 (1977), 161-169 .
9. A.I. El-Maghrabi and A.A. Naset, Between semi-closed and GS-closed sets, J.Taibah. Uni. Sci. 2(2009)79-87.
10. M. Ganster, S. Jafarai and G.B. Navalagi, on semi-g-regular and semi-g-normal spaces.
11. Jiling Cao, Sina geenwood and Ivan Reilly, Generalized closed sets: A Unified Approach.
12. Jiling Cao, M. Ganster and Ivan Reily, on sg-closed sets and g_α -closed sets.
13. Jin Han Park, On s-normal spaces and some functions, IJPAM 30(6) (1999)575-580.
14. S.R.Malghan, Generalized closed maps, The J. Karnataka Univ. Vol.27 (1982)82-88.
15. Miguel Caldas and R.K. Saraf, A surve on semi- $T_{1/2}$ spaces, Pesquimat, Vol.II, No.1 (1999)33-40.
16. Miguel Caldas, R.K. Saraf, A Research on characterization of semi- $T_{1/2}$ spaces, Divulgenious, Math.Vol.8(1)(2000)43-50.
17. G.B. Navalagi, Properties of gs-closed sets and sg-closed sets in Topology.
18. G. B. Navalagi Semi-Generalized separation in Topology.
19. Norman Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 19 (2) (1970), 89-96.
20. T.Noiri, semi-normal spaces and some functions, Acta Math. Hungar 65 (3) (1994) 305-311.
21. T.Noiri, Mildly Normal spaces and some functions, Kyungpook Math. J. 36 (1996) 183-190.
22. T. Noiri and V.Popa, On G-regular spaces and some functions, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi. Univ(Math)20(1999)67-74.
23. N. Palaniappan and K. Chandrasekhara rao, Regular Generalized closed sets, Kyungpook M.J. Vol.33(2)(1993)211-219.
24. V.K. Sharma, g-open sets and Almost normality, Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol XXXIIIM, No.3(2007)1249-1251.
25. V.K. Sharma, sg-separation axioms, Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol XXXIIIM, No.3(2007)1253-1259.
26. V.K. Sharma, g-separation axioms, Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol XXXIIIM, No.4(2007)1271-1276.
27. M.K.R.S. Veerakumar, concerning semi $T_{1/3}$ spaces.
28. M.K.R.S. Veerakumar, pre-semi-closed sets, Indian J. Math. Vol 44, No.2(2002)165-181.
29. M.K.R.S. Veerakumar, Between closed sets and g-closed sets, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi. Univ(Math)21(2000)01-19.